INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPING ECONOMIES



Discussion Papers are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussions and critical comments

DISCUSSION PAPER No. 84

Are Job Networks Localized in a Developing Economy? Search Methods for Displaced Workers in Thailand

Tomohiro MACHIKITA*

^{*} Researcher, Regional Integration Studies Group, Inter-disciplinary Studies Center IDE (machi@ide.go.jp)

Abstract

Effects of localized personal networks on the choice of search methods are studied in

this paper using evidence of displaced workers by establishment closure in Thailand

Labor Force Survey, 2001. For the blocks/villages level, there is less significant

evidence of local interactions between job-seekers and referrals in developing labor

markets. The effects of localized personal networks do not play an important role in

the probability of unemployed job-seekers seeking assistance from friends and

relatives. Convincing evidence from the data supports the proposition that both

self-selection of individual background-like professions and access to large markets

determine the choice of job search method.

Keywords: Local Interactions, Job Search Methods, Referrals, Asymmetric Information,

Thailand

JEL classification: C21, J63, J64, O18

The Institute of Developing Economies (IDE) is a semigovernmental,

nonpartisan, nonprofit research institute, founded in 1958. The Institute merged

with the Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO) on July 1, 1998. The

Institute conducts basic and comprehensive studies on economic and related

affairs in all developing countries and regions, including Asia, the Middle East,

Africa, Latin America, Oceania, and Eastern Europe.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s). Publication does

not imply endorsement by the Institute of Developing Economies of any of the views

expressed within.

INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPING ECONOMIES (IDE), JETRO

3-2-2, WAKABA, MIHAMA-KU, CHIBA-SHI

CHIBA 261-8545, JAPAN

©2006 by Institute of Developing Economies, JETRO

Are Job Networks Localized in a Developing Economy? Search Methods for Displaced Workers in Thailand*

Tomohiro Machikita[†]

December 27, 2006

Abstract

Effects of localized personal networks on the choice of search methods are studied in this paper using evidence of displaced workers by establishment closure in Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001. For the blocks/villages level, there is less significant evidence of local interactions between job-seekers and referrals in developing labor markets. The effects of localized personal networks do not play an important role in the probability of unemployed job-seekers seeking assistance from friends and relatives. Convincing evidence from the data supports the proposition that both self-selection of individual background-like professions and access to large markets determine the choice of job search method.

JEL Classification Numbers: C21, J63, J64, O18

Keywords: Local Interactions, Job Search Methods, Referrals, Asymmetric Information, Thailand

^{*}I am indebted to Kenn Ariga for his generous support, guidence, and encouragement. I would also like to express gratitude to Yutaka Arimoto, Munetomo Ando, Hiroyuki Chuma, Masa Fujita, Jyunichi Goto, Kazumi Hori, Ryo Itoh, Ryo Kambayashi, Daiji Kawaguchi, Tatsuya Kikutani, Yuichi Kimura, Kazuharu Kiyono, Miki Kohara, Hisaki Kono, Motonari Kurasawa, Kyosuke Kurita, Tomoya Matsumoto, Kuramitsu Muramatsu, Sadao Nagaoka, Hisahiro Naito, Jiro Nakamura, Hiroyuki Odagiri, Yoshiaki Ogura, Isao Ohashi, Fumio Ohtake, Ryo Okui, Chakkrit Pumpaisanchai, Kazuyasu Sakamoto, Masaru Sasaki, Daisuke Shimizu, Masao Tsuri, Makoto Watanabe, Futoshi Yamauchi, Atsushi Yoshida, and seminar participants at Hitotsubashi University, the Zushi Labor Conference, Kyoto University, and the Applied Regional Science Conference 2005 at Meikai University for their discussions. This project would not have been possible without these these insightful discussions in the early stages of writing. This research was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientist (No.18730159) of JSPS and a Grant-in-Aid for the 21st Century COE Program "Interfaces for Advanced Economic Analysis," Kyoto University and "Research Unit for Statistical Analysis in Social Sciences," Hitotsubashi University from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT).

[†]Corresponding address: Institute of Developing Economies (IDE-JETRO), 3-2-2 Wakaba, Mihama Chiba 261-8545, JAPAN. Email: machi@ide.go.jp. Phone: +81-43-299-9758. Fax: +81-43-299-9763.

1 Introduction

Impacts of localized personal networks on individual job search method are studied in this paper. There are many reasons for studying the role of non-market based interactions on labor market activities: First, detailed understanding of the role of social networks on search efforts and duration for unemployed jobseekers is necessary. Second, it is important to identify the effects that individual background and local attributes have on local-unemployment levels. Third, the identification and estimate of the impact of local attributes also becomes a difficult issue when workers are sorted between and within local areas. Finally, there is the open question of what types of job search methods are used among firms and workers. There is a large body of literatures related to determining the effects of non-market interactions on employment outcomes. On-the-job search methods for unemployed job-seekers have been studied in work on informal networks (strong versus weak ties) by Granovetter (1974). Studies comparing employment outcomes between alternative search methods have been conducted by Holzer (1987), and Holzer (1988). Most recent studies on effectiveness of internet job searches have been made by Kuhn and Skuterud (2000) and Kuhn and Skuterud (2004). These contributions have focused on the labor supply behavior of job-seekers. Montgomery (1991) makes arguments with regard to the screening role of job referrals for firms. Calvo-Armengol and Ioannides (forthcoming) and Ioannides and Loury (2004) have seminal works on job networks for job-seekers and firms. Manski (1993) and others point out the difficulties of detecting network effects (or endogenous social effects) from exogenous effects, local common shocks, and sorting effects. Topa (2001) suggests another approach to test social interactions on local unemployment using indirect inference methods and a block level data set of Chicago. Bayer, Ross and Topa (2005) collected individual-level matched data between residential areas and workplaces at the U.S. block level to test the effects of job referrals. Wahba and Zenou (2005) showed the positive impact of population density on the probability of choosing personal networks as a job search method.

There are two empirical issues involved in identifying and estimating local interactions. The first is the endogenous problem between personal networks and unobserved covariates. Recent studies have sought to overcome this difficulty by utilizing random assignment or random treatment experiments of reference-group formation. For example, Sacerdote (2001) and Duflo and Saez (2003) conducted such studies. Another identification strategy is to use instrumental variables or exogenous sources of variation to choose neighbourhoods. Case and Katz (1991) used information of individual neighbours in neighbourhoods. Bertrand, Luttmer and Mullainathan (2000) studied the impact of neighbourhood quality and quantity on welfare participation using variation in local language groups, Munshi (2003) studied the effects of the old-immigrants network on employment outcome of new entrants to a destination by using the rainfalls in the area of their. Munshi and Rosenzweig (2006) assumed that individual ability is independent of quality of the parental caste networks in the labor market relative to caste institutions in Bombay. They solved the endogenous problem between unobserved covariates and type of network signal by focusing on the institutional setting

in Bombay, India. They found a positive impact of caste networks on schooling and occupation choice of children.

The second empirical problem is in measuring local interactions. Ichino and Maggi (2000) analyzed the detection of group-interactions in shirking behavior in a large Italian bank by using panel data of movers and non-movers. Conley and Udry (2003) collected data of informational neighbourhoods to study the adoption of new technology. Yamauchi and Tanabe (2006) found that the employment probability for migrants in the Greater Bangkok Area is affected by the employment status of previous migrants. They controlled for time-specific common shocks and province of origin-specific shocks that affect employment opportunities of migrants in urban areas.

This paper provides a simple way to identify and estimate local interactions using experimental evidence in observational data. Evidence from the choice of job search method among displaced workers is used. Displaced workers are classified by the reason for displacement from their last job. They are broadly classified into four types of unemployment based on the reason they entered the unemployment pool: (1) quitting, (2) being laid-offs, (3) given mandatory retirement, and (4) establishment closure. This paper focuses on displaced workers due to establishment closure. Abilities seem to be independent of the reason for displacement within this sample. This is random treatment evidence for the displaced workers due to establishment closure. The identification strategy of this paper is to utilize this evidence of random treatment to bypass self-selectivity. Empirically, the causal effects of local interactions on the choice of job search methods for unemployed job-seekers are examined using the Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001. This empirical analysis addresses four testable explanations of search method determination: (1) individual background, (2) offer arrival rate, (3) local interactions between unemployed job-seekers and referrals, and (4) the impact of asymmetric information on the job-seekers' profession.

Major findings may be summarized as follows: First, there are less significant effects of local interactions on unemployed job-seekers under controlling individual background seeking assistance from friends and relatives. This result is in contrast to previous studies and a growing literature on the relationship between labor market outcomes and social interactions. The effects of social interactions on individual employment opportunity and the outcome have been examined in many labor economic fields. Second, the offer arrival rate is important for unemployed job-seekers looking for employment through markets. These are measured by the size of the local labor market. Finally, the effects of profession are also important in seeking assistance from friends and relatives to save the costs of asymmetric information in markets.

Section 2 of this paper includes a simple framework to derive the following hypotheses: (1) on the condition of being unemployed, localized job networks decrease the probability of job-seeking in a formal market, (2) improved means of seeking employment through markets and size of market-participants increases the probability of seeking employment through markets, (3) the evaluation costs for professionals during the

search-matching process decreases the probability of seeking employment through markets. Section 3 contains an overview of the Thailand Labor Force Survey. Section 4 has a discussion of an identification strategy. Empirical results are presented in section 5 and 6 respectively. Conclusions are in final section.

2 A Model for the Determination of Search Methods

This section explores the search-matching process between unemployed job-seekers and vacancies. A simple model is proposed for determining search methods in order to derive some testable implications. This model focuses on the following search methods: (1) seeking employment through markets or (2) seeking assistance from personal networks (friends and relatives). There are two value functions, one of seeking employment through markets and the other of getting assistance from ones own personal network. The value of "out of the labor force" is 0. Focus can then be placed on unemployed job-seekers. The former value of seeking through markets is given by V_i^M and the latter value of seeking assistance from personal networks is given by V_i^N . Asymmetric information regarding job-seekers' characteristics in markets needs evaluation costs during the search-matching process. For job-seekers, this cost is described by c. The return from seeking employment through markets is described by w. This is the wage offered in markets. This paper does not formalize the wage posting game in each submarket like directed search models. The value of seeking employment through markets depends on the probability of seeking workers through markets of other vacancies $M \in [0,1]$. As a result, the value function of seeking employment through markets is $V_i^M = M(w-c)$.

On the other hand, the value of job-seeking assistance from personal networks is formalized by the return to wage offer (v) and the size of personal ties to referrals $(q \in [0,1])$. The condition of job matching through personal networks is assumed to be satisfied with the overlap of job-seekers and vacancies. The probability of knowing the referrals for job-seekers is q. The probability of knowing the referrals for firms is also q. Job matching by seeking assistance from friends and relatives requires overlap of referrals for job-seekers and firms. This overlap is shown by q^2 in the model. The value of job-seeking assistance from personal networks also depends on the probability of meeting with other vacant firms using personal networks (1 - M). The value function of job-seeking assistance from personal networks is formalized as follows: $V_i^N = (1 - M)q^2v$.

The only trade off that each job-seeker must face is in the information asymmetry and arrival rate of offers. In each time period, a job-seeker looks for employment through markets as long as $V_i^M > V_i^N$. This is satisfied with the following equilibrium condition of seeking employment through markets:

Condition 1
$$c < w - q^2 v\left(\frac{1-M}{M}\right) = c^*$$
.

The threshold cost of seeking employment through markets is described by c^* . Job-seekers decide to seek for employment through markets as long as $c < c^*$. The cutoff point c^* is an increasing function of the market-offered wage w and the number of market participants M. This is a decreasing function of the offered wage through personal networks v, the number of vacancies sought for worker assistance from

personal networks (1 - M), and common referrals q^2 between job-seekers and firms with vacancies in local labor markets.

Testable implications may be derived from the above equilibrium conditions. First, the size of the local labor market (the number of market participants) M has a positive impact on the probability of seeking employment through markets. Individuals will exhibit a greater frequency to seek for employment through markets as their job search method of searching if they reside in urban areas where there is a high probability of meeting other vacancies rather than if they reside in rural areas where there is a low probability of meeting other vacancies. This may be expressed:

$$Pr(N_{ij} = 1) = 1 - Pr(M_{ij} = 1) = 1 - M_j.$$

Second, local interactions between job-seekers and referrals are also an important channel of job-seeking assistance from personal networks. Individuals will exhibit greater frequency to seek assistance from friends and relatives if they are assigned in local areas where unemployed job-seekers have higher overlap q^2 between job-seekers and vacancies than if they are assigned in local areas where there is lower overlap. This may be written as follows:

$$Pr(M_{ij} = 1) = 1 - q_j^2.$$

Finally, the evaluation cost for job-seekers through markets is assumed to be higher for professionals (P=1) than non-professionals (P=0). Individuals will exhibit a greater frequency to seek assistance from friends and relatives if they have professional occupations. The equilibrium condition of the search method may be determined as follows: $c_{P=1}^* < c_{P=0}^*$.

The probability of seeking employment through markets for non-professional occupations is higher than that of professional occupation as follows:

$$Pr(M_{ij} = 1|P = 1) < Pr(M_{ij} = 1|P = 0).$$

3 The Data and Displaced Job-Seekers

3.1 The Thailand Labor Force Survey

The data source used in this paper was the Thailand Labor Force Survey (hereafter LFS) 2001 by the The National Statistical Office (NSO) of Thailand. The Thailand Labor Force Survey 2001 covers all 76 provinces for the whole Kingdom. The Thailand Labor Force Survey 2001 was conducted on a monthly basis using a two-stage period. First, the sample selection of blocks/villages was performed separately and individually in each province. The total monthly sample of blocks/villages was 1,890 from 108,244. Second, the sample selection of households was performed in each sample block/village. The total number of sample households selected monthly enumeration was 26,121. This individual data provides rich covariates for employed workers, unemployed-job seekers, and the out of labor force.

3.2 Reason for Displacement from the Last Job and the Choice of Search Methods

Reasons for displacement important in seeking employment through markets or seeking assistance from friends and relatives may be seen in Table 1. Displaced workers made so by establishment closure, being fired, reaching the end of contract, or having quit often seek employment through markets. Those unemployed due to mandatory retirement seek assistance from personal networks. This is explained by age effects; older workers are able to accumulate efficient personal networks. This is also explained by the asymmetric information of job-seekers' abilities. It is useful for the mandatory retirement sample to avoid information costs and to seek assistance from personal networks.

 ${\it Table 1: Number \ and \ Frequency \ of \ Search \ Methods \ by \ Reason \ for \ Displacement}$

	Networks	Markets	Total
Establishment Closure	77	126	203
	(38%)	(62%)	
Being Fired	46	103	149
	(31%)	(69%)	
End of Contract	58	136	194
	(30%)	(70%)	
Reduce Wage/Welfare	11	23	34
	(32%)	(68%)	
Not Satisfied with Wage	86	195	281
35 3 5	(31%)	(69%)	40-
Mandatory Retirement	333	164	497
0.1	(67%)	(33%)	200
Other	112	184	296
TT 1	(38%)	(62%)	10
Unknown	(2207)	(0701)	12
	(33%)	(67%)	
Total	727	939	1,666
	(44%)	(56%)	

Notes: Job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. Job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

3.3 The Sample: Displaced Workers by Evidence of Establishment Closure

Descriptive statistics for job-seeking activities of displaced workers due to establishment closure and for other reasons are found in this section. A comparison of job search method, geographic distribution, and professions of displaced workers due to plant-closing evidence as well as displaced workers due to the other reasons of job displacement is particularly interesting. First, each job search method for displaced workers due to establishment closure is shown in Table 2. There is no difference in the frequency of use of personal networks (friends and relatives) between displaced workers due to establishment closure and displaced workers due to the other reasons. Almost 37% of job-seekers looks for assistance from personal networks. The same is true for direct applications. Almost 36% of job-seekers due to establishment closure and other displaced workers seek employment by direct application.

Second, geographic distribution of job search method for displaced workers due to the establishment closure is shown in Table 3. The frequency of job-seeking assistance from personal networks in the Central, Northern, Northeastern, and Southern areas is higher for displaced workers due to establishment closure than for displaced workers due to other reasons. Displaced workers due to establishment closure in Bangkok virtually all seek employment through markets. Finally, the professional skills of job-seekers are correlated with the choice of job search method. Table 4 shows the number and frequency of each job search method for displaced workers due to establishment closure and for displaced workers due to other reasons. Professionals in management and skilled agricultural occupations tend to seek assistance from personal networks after displacement from their last job. However, 60% of non-professionals (associate professions, clerking, sales/service occupations, crafts, machine operation, and elementary occupations) and 52% of professionals seek employment through markets.

Table 2: Job Search Methods: Establishment Closure vs Other Reasons

	Establishment Reason		Other Reasons	
	\mathbf{Number}	Frequency	\mathbf{Number}	Frequency
Newspaper/Magazine	31	15%	112	8%
Radio/TV	1	0%	18	1%
Friends/Relatives	77	37%	650	44%
Public agencies	14	7%	118	8%
Direct application	74	36%	514	35%
Sending application	6	3%	51	3%
Others	4	2%	20	1%
Total	207	100%	1483	100%

Note. The survey was conducted by asking "How did you seek work or apply for a job?".

Table 3: Job Search Methods by Region and Reasons for Displacement

	Establishment Reason			Other Reasons		
	Networks	Markets	Total	Networks	Markets	Total
Bangkok	14 (22%)	51 (78%)	65	61 (29%)	149 (71%)	210
Central	15 (35%)	28 (65%)	43	101 (33%)	208 (67%)	309
North	15 (54%)	13 (46%)	28	120 (51%)	114 (49%)	234
Northeast	20 (53%)	18 (47%)	38	264 (52%)	242 (48%)	506
South	13 (45%)	16 (55%)	29	104 (51%)	100 (49%)	204
Total	77 (38%)	$126 \\ (62\%)$	203	650 (44%)	813 (56%)	1,463

Notes: Job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. Job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, (6) others.

Source: The Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001. The National Statistical Office, Thailand.

Table 4: Job Search Methods by Profession and Reasons for Displacement

	Establishment Reason			Other Reasons		
	Networks	Markets	Total	Networks	Markets	Total
Non-Professional	66 (37%)	114 (63%)	180 100%	473 (41%)	687 (59%)	1,160 (100%)
Professional	11 (48%)	12 (52%)	$\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 100\% \end{array}$	177 (58%)	126 (42%)	303 100%
Total	77 (38%)	$126 \\ (62\%)$	$\frac{203}{100\%}$	650 (44%)	813 (56%)	$^{1,463}_{100\%}$

Notes: Job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. Job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, (6) others.

4 The Impact of Local Interactions on Choice of Search Methods

4.1 Estimation Methodology

This section includes a description of the estimation methodology that uses evidence of job displacement due to establishment closure. This is a simpler and novel approach than previous studies that detect referral effects or local interactions. This paper focuses on experimental evidence of displacement from the last job. The reason for displacement from the last job captures the exogenous source of variation in seeking employment in the unemployment pool. Given each level of job referral, displaced workers due to establishment closure exogenously enter the unemployment pool and seek employment. This is the main empirical concern of this paper.

The baseline equation is formalized as follows: An indicator of the degree of profession P_{ij} (1 if individual i was from the professional sample and 0 if individual i was from the non-professionals sample) is introduced into the baseline equation. It is assumed to be a good proxy of the unobserved search costs through markets under asymmetric information. Heterogeneity in localized personal networks effects, observable characteristics are also changed. Focus is placed on the effects of potential size of job referrals on the choice of search methods. The true model of the probability of seeking employment through markets is specified as follows:

$$Pr(M_{ij} = 1) = \alpha + \beta N_{ij} + \gamma X_i + \eta P_i + \delta_1 (N_{ij} * X_i) + \delta_2 (N_{ij} * P_i) + \omega_i$$
 (1)

where M_{ij} is an indicator variable for seeking employment and equal to 1 if through markets, equal to 0 if otherwise (for example, seeking assistance from personal networks). N_{ij} is the geographical neighbourhood for unemployed job-seeker i. X_i represents individual characteristics, and ω_i is the composite of unobserved individual characteristics and stochastic shock.

The difficulty of estimating localized personal network effects is in the self-selection problem. The counterfactual outcome cannot be observed. Evidence of displaced workers due to establishment closure may be used. The average outcome of the treatment-group that has many personal networks may be compared with the control-group that does not have many personal networks. The key point of this analysis is that displaced workers due to establishment closure enter the unemployment pool and exogenously decide whether to seek assistance from personal networks or to seek employment through markets. It is assumed that the unmeasured component ω_i is not correlated with treatment assignments N_{ij} for displaced workers due to establishment closure. Testable hypotheses may be derived on the above identification condition and the natural experimental evidence of displaced workers.

Hypothesis 1 On the condition of being unemployed, localized job networks decrease the probability of job-seeking in a formal market such that $\beta < 0$.

Hypothesis 2 Improved means of seeking employment through markets and the size of market participants increases the probability of seeking employment through markets.

Hypothesis 3 Evaluation costs for professionals during the search-matching process decreases the probability of seeking employment through markets such that $\eta < 0$.

4.2 Baseline Results

To predict the main choice of search method, job search methods were regressed to: (1) the job-seeker characteristics, (2) the proxy of local interactions between job-seekers and potential referrals, and (3) regional characteristics. The proxy of local interactions is the number of employed workers who have the same occupation category and industrial category in the same blocks/villages where job-seekers reside. These are called localized personal networks. These workers are considered potential referrals for job-seekers in the same blocks/villages. This proxy should to capture: (1) the effects of information exchange on job opportunity between employed workers and unemployed job-seekers and (2) the effects of meeting job-referrals within neighborhood areas. The effect of the meeting rate seems to capture the labor demand in each block/village. To control for booms or recessions in employment opportunity in each block/village, the employment rate at the block/village level is used.

The baseline model includes only the measure of local interactions, individual characteristics (such as age, gender, marital status, and years of education), and regional characteristics. It is estimated in specification (1) of Table 5. The marginal effects of the independent variables are shown in each column. The proxy of localized personal networks for unemployed job-seekers raises the probability of seeking assistance from friends and relatives. By definition, this has negative effects on the probability of seeking employment through markets. Actually, an increase of one percent in the proxy of localized personal networks does not raise the probability of seeking employment through markets. When conditions are placed on the meeting rate, such as potential referrals, labor demand, and other observed characteristics, no significant evidence is found that localized personal networks determine each search method. A one-percent increase in the employment rate at the block/village level also does not raise the probability of seeking employment through markets. This means that the effect of meeting rate with employed workers in each block/village determines the job-seeking assistance from friends and relatives. Higher levels of education also raise the probability of seeking employment through markets. Graduating from elementary, upper secondary, and university levels of education raises the probability of seeking employment through markets by approximately 20, 24 and 35 percent respectively more than the unemployed who have less than elementary levels of education. The effects of economic geography are also important. Living in the Bangkok Metropolis raises the probability of seeking employment through markets more than living in the Southern Region. Living in the North and Northern area has a negative effect on seeking employment through markets. Urbanization of each block/village does not seem important. These results suggest that the dispersion of search methods at the regional level (Bangkok, Central, Northern, Northeastern, and Southern) but also at the block/village level. Local interactions do not lead unemployed job-seekers to seek for employment through markets. A professional dummy variable has a negative impact of 25 percent on the probability of searching through

markets. This suggests that the cost of information asymmetry for professionals reduces the probability of seeking employment through markets.

The second specification in Table 5 includes more control variables of individual characteristics (size of the establishment of the last job and the last industry for unemployed job-seekers). These characteristics are intended to capture individual abilities and preferences for the employment opportunities. The variable of localized personal network does not show important effects on the probability of seeking employment through markets. A one-percent increase in employment rate in the block/village level also does not raise the probability of seeking employment through markets. The meeting rate with other employed workers is important in seeking assistance from friends and relatives. The main difference in the first specification of this table is that the effect of professionals becomes less significant on the probability of seeking employment through markets. The richer set of individual characteristics reduces the effects of the professional occupation dummy variable. The intercept term is should capture the unobserved characteristics but is not significant in this specification. The marginal effect of professionals is less significant when the size of establishment and industry are controlled.

4.3 Heterogeneity

If localized personal networks play an important role in seeking assistance from friends and relatives, the correlation between the choice of search method for job-seekers and their own localized personal networks depends on the formation of personal networks for unemployed job-seekers. If the importance of localized personal networks grows with years of education, profession, and geography, then the formation of private networks is different among unemployed job-seekers. Checking whether or not this prediction is supported by the data, the effect of the network appears to vary with job-seekers' characteristics. These individual characteristics reflect the sensitivity of localized personal networks to the assistance received from friends and relatives. Specification (1) of Table 6 reports results of this hypothesis. The proxy of localized personal networks has a positive impact on the probability of seeking employment through markets. One localized personal network raises the probability of seeking employment through markets by 5.9 percent. On the other hand, the employment rate in each block/village (proxy of the effect of labor demand or the effect of meeting with employed workers) is important for seeking assistance from friends and relatives. The impact of individual characteristics is similar to former specification (1) without the interaction term. Among job-seekers in Bangkok, Central, and Northeastern areas, the marginal effect of localized personal networks on seeking employment through markets is negative and less significant. The marginal effect of localized personal networks among professional workers is also negative and less significant.

Specification (2) of Table 6 reveals results after controlling for size of last establishment and last industry for unemployed job-seekers. The main empirical result is that the marginal effect of the employment rate

Table 5: Effects of Local Interactions on Seeking through Markets

Table 5: Effects of Local Inte	(1)	beeking time	(2)	
	` /			
Variable	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)
Local Networks	011	(.016)	.001	(.019)
Local Networks (squared)	.000	(.000)	000	(.000)
Professionals	247	(.128)	191	(.188)
Age	005	(.005)	007	(.006)
Male	.015	(.081)	007	(.095)
Married	.048	(.080)	003	(.092)
Less than Secondary Level	.204	(.099)	.205	(.108)
Upper Secondary Level	.235	(.097)	.198	(.116)
Diploma and University Level	.350	(.080)	.313	(.098)
Urban Residents	047	(.095)	017	(.110)
Bangkok Metropolis	.270	(.107)	.368	(.114)
Central	.104	(.117)	.212	(.125)
North	051	(.140)	.043	(.154)
Northeast	112	(.132)	001	(.154)
Size of 5-9 Persons			143	(.125)
Size of over 10 Persons			071	(.134)
Mining and Textiles			.233	(.220)
Chemical Manufacturing			.177	(.255)
Electrical Manufacturing			.027	(.355)
Electricity, Gas, and Construction			.200	(.248)
Wholesale			.219	(.287)
Transportation and Finance			.377	(.100)
Education, Health, Social Work			172	(.544)
Sewage, Refuse Disposal			.196	(.282)
Employment Rate in Block/Village	-3.18	(2.85)	-3.455	(3.566)
Employment Rate in Block/Village (squared)	2.425	(2.831)	2.607	(3.512)
Log likelihood	-114.398		-94.884	
Number of Obs	203		174	
Adjusted R^2	.151		.177	

Notes: The dependent variable is the dummy variable of job seeking through markets. A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper/magazine, (2) radio/TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others. The lower and upper bound of age is 15 and 65 respectively.

(labor demand or meeting rate with employed workers) rises more sharply than specification (1) of Table 6. With regard to the heterogeneity in local interactions; the marginal effects of localized job networks on seeking assistance from friends and relatives are negative and significant for Northern and Northeastern residents. The marginal effect of localized job networks among professionals is less significant.

4.4 Robustness Checks: Alternative Measures of Localized Networks

In this section, alternatives for the measure of localized personal networks are demonstrated. There are two types of alternative measures: (1) the number of workers are in the same occupation category and same industrial category in a wider area than that of the block/village level, and (2) the number workers who are in the same education category as unemployed job-seekers. Table 7 shows a clear contrast between the Probit regression with and the Probit regression without worker characteristics, the size of establishment for the last job and the last industry. Specification (1) of Model A considers the number of workers who have the same occupation and industry categories as unemployed job-seekers in the wider geographical level. The employment ratio in the wider geographical labor market, individual basic characteristics, and local characteristics may then be added to the control. The localized personal networks in this specification suggest no correlation between localized job networks and the probability of seeking employment through markets. There also appears to be no correlation between the estimated employment ratio in the wider level and seeking employment through markets for unemployed job-seekers. These effects are also captured by other geographic variables. Specification (2) of Model A reveals that there are less statistically significant local interactions in the wider level when controlling for the last industry and the size of establishment. Individual backgrounds of last job and region have more explanatory power for determining the job search method.

The same is true for *Model B*, that considers the number of workers who have the same education level in each block/village as localized personal networks. The estimate of *Model B*, including the impact of different levels of education on the probability of seeking employment through markets, does not change either with or without individual characteristics. There are less significant effects for local interactions by education level. Evidently, individual characteristics provide the main explanation for seeking employment through markets.

5 Is This Reflected by No Search Capital for Displaced Workers of Establishment Reason?

Does patience or reduction of search capital for displaced workers by establishment closure affect baseline estimates? For displaced workers by establishment failures, baseline results indicate no significant evidence of localization of personal network effects on seeking assistance from friends and relatives. Estimates based on alternative models also suggest similar results. The natural experiment of displaced workers is useful for

Table 6: Heterogeneity in Local Interactions and Seeking through Markets

Table 0. Heterogeneity in Local	(1)	<u> </u>	(2)	
Variable	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)
Local Networks	.059	(.036)	.159	(.057)
Local Networks (squared)	.001	(.001)	.001	(.001)
Professionals	304	(.175)	311	(.261)
Age	004	(.005)	005	(.006)
Male	.038	(.087)	001	(.107)
Married	.036	(.087)	.008	(.107)
Less than Secondary Level	.250	(.118)	.273	(.129)
Upper Secondary Level	.161	(.138)	.202	(.134)
Diploma and University Level	.346	(.099)	.347	(.116)
Urban Residents	.084	(.135)	.274	(.167)
Bangkok Metropolis	.420	(.115)	.555	(.114)
Central	.213	(.131)	.370	(.125)
Northern	.220	(.135)	.353	(.105)
Northeastern	.076	(.155)	.251	(.154)
Local Networks*Less than Secondary	009	(.020)	.005	(.025)
Local Networks*Upper Secondary	.039	(.035)	.028	(.033)
Local Networks*Diploma and University	.017	(.035)	.041	(.056)
Local Networks*Urban residents	056	(.030)	134	(.045)
Local Networks*Bangkok metropolis	031	(.024)	035	(.036)
Local Networks*Central	008	(.028)	.004	(.039)
Local Networks*Northern	072	(.035)	106	(.048)
Local Networks*Northeastern	031	(.022)	069	(.031)
Local Networks*Professionals	004	(.032)	.059	(.085)
Size of 5-9 Persons			216	(.161)
Size of over 10 Persons			083	(.185)
Local Networks*Size of 5-9 Persons			028	(.030)
Local Networks*Size of over 10 Persons			035	(.036)
Mining and Textiles			.269	(.194)
Chemical Manufacturing			.290	(.172)
Electrical Manufacturing			.144	(.293)
Electricity, Gas, and Construction			.316	(.195)
Wholesale			.395	(.250)
Transportation and Finance Real Estate and Public Service			.436	(.065) $(.069)$
			.200 085	(/
Education, Health, Social Work Sewage, Refuse Disposal			085 .270	(.550) $(.188)$
Employment Rate in Block/Village	-3.339	(2.981)	-5.649	(4.323)
Employment Rate in Block/Village (squared)	-3.339 2.201	(2.951) (2.958)	-3.649 3.676	(4.323) (4.206)
Log likelihood	-106.124	(2.990)	-82.928	(4.200)
Number of Obs	203		-02.920 174	
Adjusted R^2	.212		.279	
	.212		.219	

Notes: The dependent variable is the dummy variable of job seeking through markets. A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others. The lower and upper bound of age is 15 and 65 respectively.

Table 7: Alternative Specifications of Local Interactions and Seeking through Markets

	(1)		(2)	
Variable	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)
$Model \ A.$				
Networks	000	(.000)	.000	(.000)
Professionals	255	(.125)	193	(.183)
Employment Rate in Area	787	(1.085)	620	(1.221)
Controls the last industry	No		Yes	
Obs.	203		174	
$Adj. R^2$.136		.164	
Model B.				
Networks	.004	(.004)	.003	(.005)
Professionals	248	(.127)	165	(.188)
Employment Rate in Block/Village	848	(.432)	861	(.490)
Controls the Last Industry	No		Yes	
Obs.	203		174	
Adj. R^2	.150		.176	

Notes: The dependent variable is the dummy variable of job seeking through markets. A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others. The lower and upper bound of age is 15 and 65 respectively. All models of specification (2) control for the variables of the last job held by unemployed job-seekers. All models control individual and local characteristics. Model A captures the effects of a wider level of labor markets than the block/village level. Model B captures the effects of each education level in the block/village level. Source: Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001, The National Statistical Office.

controlling the endogenous problem that exists between the size of ones own personal networks (given by econometricians) and the unobserved abilities used to determine choice of job search method. Unfortunately, the experiment has a possibility of reducing search capital through markets for unemployed job-seekers that have been displaced suddenly for exogenous reasons. If such job-seekers look for employment through markets, they may have a high probability of meeting other vacant firms. Thus, more patient workers without search capital, similar to displaced workers due to establishment closure tend to seek employment through markets to obtain the scale effects of the market. This is a shortcoming in the baseline estimates. To gain a better estimate, the following null hypothesis was tested to check the robustness of baseline estimates:

$$Pr(M_{ij} = 1|X_i, N_{ij}, D_i = 1) > Pr(M_{ij} = 1|X_i, N_{ij}, D_i = 0)$$
(2)

where the establishment failure dummy D_i equals 1 if unemployed job-seekers i are displaced by establishment closure (treatment group) and 0 if they have other reasons for displacement (control group). The whole sample is distinguished by reason for displacement from the last job. These distinctions include: (1) establishment closure, (2) being fired, (3) quitters, (4) mandatory retirement, and (5) reaching end of contract. This random treatment evidence is able to capture the effects of impatience in unemployed job-seekers. They appear to lose search capital with plant-closings. On the other hand, other reasons for displaced workers, especially voluntarily quitting and mandatory retirement, allow some expectation of the timing for displacement from the last job. The auxiliary regression equation may be written as follows:

$$Pr(M_{ij} = 1) = \alpha + \phi D_i + \beta N_{ij} + \gamma X_i + \eta P_i + \delta_1 (N_{ij} * X_i) + \delta_2 (N_{ij} * P_i) + \omega_i.$$
 (3)

No difference in the probability of seeking employment through markets due to the reason for displacement from the last job was expected. The coefficient of the establishment failure dummy variable D_i was less significant. Specification (1) of table 8 shows estimates of the establishment failure dummy variable and does not show any significant impact on the probability of seeking employment through markets any more than other reasons for displaced workers. It is difficult to say that displaced job-seekers due to establishment failure raises the probability of seeking employment through markets any more than another sample. This result shows that there is no patience for the establishment failure sample with the reduction of search capital to seek a job. Utilizing the whole sample of job-seekers, education and living in the Greater Bangkok Area or Central Region also both increase the probability of seeking employment through markets.

The estimates of specification (2) in Table 8 also reveal less significant effects on the displaced workers due to establishment closure (versus other reasons for displaced workers) on the probability of seeking employment through markets. There does not seem to be any significant evidence of patience for establishment failure in this demonstration. A worker who has a large personal network and resides in a high employment area for unemployed job-seekers has no statistically significant effect in specification (2). There is no impact of local interactions on the possibility of meeting with other agents when individual characteristics, local characteristics, and the effects of signaling the abilities are controlled. These results show that there is no

difference in seeking for employment through markets or seeking assistance from friends and relatives with given reason of displacement from the last job. Upper education level and living in the Bangkok Metropolis or Central Region also increase the probability of searching through markets.

Table 8: Effects of the Reason for Displacement on Seeking through Markets

Table 0. Effects of the Headen for	(1) (2)				
Variable	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)	Coefficient	(Std. Err.)	
Establishment Reasons	.026	(.036)	.010		
Networks	006	(.003)	005	(.042) $(.006)$	
Networks (squared)	.000	(.000)	003	(.000)	
Professionals	110	(.041)	011	(.062)	
Age	002	(.001)	001	(.002)	
Male	023	(.020)	001	(.032)	
Married	.047	(.024)	.072	(.032)	
Less than Secondary level	.110	(.034)	.103	(.044)	
Upper Secondary Level	.231	(.029)	.231	(.043)	
Diploma and University Level	.362	(.027)	.280	(.042)	
Urban Residents	.035	(.023)	.016	(.034)	
Bangkok Metropolis	.121	(.033)	.138	(.048)	
Central	.131	(.114)	.133	(.045)	
Northern	.024	(.035)	.048	(.049)	
Northeastern	.041	(.032)	.073	(.046)	
Size of 5-9 Persons		,	051	(.045)	
Size of over 10 Persons			.026	(.046)	
Mining and Textiles			.251	(.053)	
Chemical Manufacturing			.241	(.055)	
Electrical Manufacturing			.213	(.061)	
Electricity, Gas, and Construction			.111	(.057)	
Wholesale			.233	(.054)	
Transportation and Finance			.248	(.058)	
Real estate and Public Service			.225	(.082)	
Education, Health, Social Work			.290	(.069)	
Sewage, Refuse Disposal			.158	(.076)	
Employment Rate in Block/Village	152	(.578)	888	(.925)	
Employment Rate in Block/Village squared	.441	(.577)	.963	(.911)	
Log likelihood	-1489.7639		-795.82994		
Number of Obs	2583		1332		
Adjusted R^2	.125		.119		

Note: The dependent variable is the dummy variable of job seeking through markets. A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others. The lower and upper bound of age is 15 and 65 respectively.

Source: The Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001. The National Statistical Office, Thailand.

6 Conclusion

Local interactions on the choice of job search method for unemployed job-seekers were tested in this paper. Empirical results may be summarized as follows: First, there appear to be no significant localized

personal networks effect on the probability of seeking assistance from friends and relatives when individual background of the labor market status of the last job is controlled. Background includes establishment size the last job and the industry of the last job. The effect of the industry of the last job and the type of profession tend to be consistently significance in the choice of search method. Thus, choice of search method seems to be determined by individual background and geographical characteristics. It is important to discuss the importance of social networks in job-seeking rather than local networks. Of course, unemployed job-seekers are able to contact friends and relatives who reside in distant area thanks to rising communication technology in Thailand. It is difficult for econometricians to obtain the values for variables of the informational neighborhood. It is possible that information technology has the impact of reducing the cost of communicating with the informational neighborhood. For this reason, communicating with a localized but different type of job-network from ones own type may cost more than a geographically distant but similar type of job-network. This has been studied by Rosenblat and Mobius (2004) in terms of rising Economists' cooperation between distant geographic areas and similar fields.

Second, there is no evidence of a significant relation between patience and being displaced from the last job by establishment closure. It is possible that this study sample has no search capital by suddenestablishment-failure. These workers seem to seek employment through markets to accumulate search capital. There seems to be no significant difference in the probability of seeking employment through markets relative to being displaced workers by exogenous reasons or other reasons. Baseline estimates and estimates of alternative models are confirmed by this auxiliary empirical testing.

References

- Bayer, Patrick, Steve Ross, and Giorgio Topa, "Place of Work and Place of Residence: Informal Hiring Networks and Labor Market Outcomes," NBER Working Paper, 11019, 2005.
- Bertrand, Marianne, Erzo Luttmer, and Sendhill Mullainathan, "Network Effects and Welfare Cultures," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2000, 115, 1019–1055.
- Calvo-Armengol, Antonio and Yannis Ioannides, "Social Networks in Labor Markets," The New Palgrave, A Dictionary of Economics, Second Edition, forthcoming.
- Case, Anne C. and Lawrence F. Katz, "The Company You Keep: The Effects of Family and Neighborhood on Disadvantaged Youths," NBER Working Paper No. 3705 1991.
- Conley, Timothy G. and Christopher R. Udry, "Learning about a New Technology: Pineapple in Ghana," mimeo 2003.
- **Duflo, Esther and Emmanuel Saez**, "The Role of Information and Social Interactions in Retirement Plan Decisions: Evidence from a Randomized Experiment," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2003, 118, 815–842.
- Granovetter, Mark S., Getting a Job: A Study of Contacts and Careers, Harvard University Press, Cambdrige, MA, 1974.
- **Holzer, Harry**, "Job Search by Employed and Unemployed Youth," *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 1987, 40, 601–611.
- _ , "Search Method Use by Unemployed Youth," Journal of Labor Economics, 1988, 6, 1–20.
- Ichino, Andrea and Giovanni Maggi, "Work Environment and Individual Background: Explaining Regional Shirking Differentials in a Large Italian Firm," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2000, 115, 1057–1090.
- **Ioannides, Yannis M. and Linda D. Loury**, "Job Information Networks, Neighborhood Effects, and Inequality," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2004, 42(4), 1056–1093.
- Kuhn, Peter and Mikal Skuterud, "Job Search Methods: Internet versus Traditional," *Monthly Labor Review*, 2000, *October*, 3–11.
- _ and _ , "Internet Job Search and Unemployment Durations," American Economic Review, 2004, 94(1), 218–232.
- Manski, Charles F., "Identification of Endogenous Social Effects: The Reflection Problem," Review of Economic Studies, 1993, 60, 531–542.

- Montgomery, James D., "Social Networks and Labor-Market Outcomes," American Economic Review, 1991, 81(5), 1408–1418.
- Munshi, Kaivan, "Networks in the Modern Economy: Mexican Migrants in the U.S. Labor Market," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2003, 118, 549–597.
- and Mark Rosenzweig, "Traditional Institutions Meet the Modern World: Caste, Gender and Schooling Choice in a Globalizing Economy," American Economic Review 96(4), 2006, 96(4), 1225–1252.
- Rosenblat, Tanya S. and Markus M. Mobius, "Getting Closer or Drifting Apart?," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2004, pp. 971–1009.
- Sacerdote, Bruce, "Peer Effects with Random Assignment: Results for Dartmouth Roommates," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2001, 116(2), 681–704.
- **Topa, Giorgio**, "Social Interactions, Local Spillovers and Unemployment," Review of Economic Studies, 2001, 68, 261–295.
- Wahba, Jackline and Yves Zenou, "Does density affect social networks in Egypt?," *Journal of Development Economics*, 2005, 78, 443–473.
- Yamauchi, Futoshi and Sekiko Tanabe, "Nonmarket Networks Among Migrants: Evidence from Metropolitan Bangkok, Thailand," Forthcoming Journal of Population Economics, 2006.

7 Data Appendix

7.1 Summary Statistics of the Whole Sample

Summary statistics for the variables used in the limited sample are presented in Table 9 and Table 10 for unemployed job-seekers, dropping out of the labor force, and employed workers. The dependent variable in the study is the choice of search method (network or market) for unemployed job-seekers. This is not available for employed workers. There is no information about on-the-job searches. The explanatory variables in the study are categorized by four hypotheses: (1) individual characteristics, (2) local characteristics, (3) the effects of local interactions, and (4) signaling effects of unobserved abilities to the firm. These are non-exclusive of each other.

The sample construction of employed persons and unemployed persons is standard in this survey. The definition of an employed person in this survey is:

- a persons 15 years of age and over who: worked at least one hour during the survey week for wages, profits, dividends, or any other kind of payment
- did not work at all but had regular job, business enterprise or farm from which they were temporarily absent, whether or not they were paid by their employers during their period of absence, provided that in the case of a temporary closure of the work place, the expectation would be that it would reopen within 30 days from the date of closure, and they would be recalled to their last job.
- worked for at least one hour without pay in business enterprises or on farms owned or operated by household heads or members.

The definition of unemployed is a person 15 years of age over who:

- during the survey week did not work even one hour, had no job, business enterprise, or farm of their own, from which they were temporarily absent, but were available for work.
- had been looking for work during the preceding 30 days.
- had not been looking for work because of illness or belief that no suitable work was available, waiting to take up a new job, or waiting for an agricultural season or other reasons.

The main differences in the individual characteristics of unemployed job-seekers and employed workers are in age, marital status, level of education, and the ratio of professional occupations such as managerial occupations, skilled-agricultural occupations, and electricity industry. The main component of unemployed job-seekers includes unmarried youth. This generation acquires higher level of education than elder workers. This generation moves toward being associate professionals in white-collar work and positions as craftsman and machine operators in blue-collar work.

To create the measures of local interactions, proxies were implemented based on the employment ratio at the block/village level and wider area level. First, the employment rate was calculated at the respondent's block/village level. Second, the employment rate in the respondent's area level was used. This was suitable to analyze the situation in which local interactions are defined over block/village levels. Finally, the ratio of each level of education among the population in the block/village level was used: (1) diploma and university level, (2) upper secondary level, (3) elementary and lower secondary, and (4) less than elementary educated.

Unemployed job-seekers are concentrating in the Bangkok Metropolis. Approximately 16% of unemployed job-seekers locates here while 5.6% of employed workers locate in the Bangkok Metropolis. This difference suggests that unemployed job-seekers migrate to highly concentrated areas to seek jobs. Table 10 implies that they also leave the agricultural neighborhood. They are also more likely than employed workers to locate in the block/village (area) where there is high income and high income dispersion.

Summary statistics for the variables of unemployed-job seekers and non-participants in the labor force are also presented in Table 9 and Table 10. The former shows the mean and standard deviation of individual characteristics for unemployed job-seekers and non-participants. The latter shows the mean and standard deviation of local characteristics and the measure of local interaction in the block/village for unemployed job-seekers and non-participants. The main differences between unemployed job-seekers and non-participants are represented in occupational structure and residential area. Drop-outs exhibit the tendency to have skilled-agricultural positions, elementary occupations, and

places in the agricultural industry as their last job. Drop-outs also concentrate in the Bangkok area. Their neighbors also work in the agricultural sector and have lower education levels. This represents cohort effects. Older displaced workers tend to have agricultural jobs and live in rural area.

7.2 Displaced Workers by Establishment Reasons

The summary statistics of the sample used to estimate the regression equation are described following Tables 11 and 12 respectively. The evidence of establishment closing is assumed to be natural field experiments in the observational data. The experiments provide consistent estimates of network effects on the choice of job search method in the observational data. The assumption to estimate network effects is that evidence as plant closings is an exogenous reason for entering the unemployment pool. Displaced job-seekers due to establishment closure (treatment group) and other reasons for being displaced job-seekers (control group) were compared to find the characteristics of the sample for statistical inference. Main differences between treatment and control are in (1) age, (2) skilled-agriculture occupations, (3) sales and service occupations, (4) machine operators occupations, (4) elementary occupations, (5) agricultural occupations, (6) transportation and finance occupations, (7) wholesale occupations, (8) residents in the Bangkok Metropolis, (9) residents in Northeastern area, and regions relate to agricultural occupation. The evidence of plant closings concentrates in more populated area like the Bangkok metropolitan areas. These closings include transportation/finance/wholesale industry, and especially, sales/service/machine operators occupations than and are more responsible for job displacement than other reasons.

7.3 Search Methods among Displaced Job-Seekers

In order to construct a sample for empirical study, the data of unemployed persons in the LFS, 2001 are used. The sample is restricted to 2616 unemployed job-seekers in the survey. LFS, 2001 contained many suitable variables of job-seeking activities of the unemployed and the individual work history related to their last job. This paper focused on two unique variables: (1) the job search method of unemployed job-seekers and (2) the reason for displacement from the last job. Table 13 shows the number and frequency of job search methods. The main methods are job referrals (that is, job networks of friends and relatives) and direct application. Approximately 37% of unemployed job-seekers uses their own job-networks. Approximately 36% of unemployed job-seekers makes direct application to each establishment. The two polar activities are based on networks and formal markets respectively. The importance of public job agencies for unemployed job-seekers is quite low in this survey.

7.4 Geographic Distribution of Job Search Methods

The focus was placed on the Greater Bangkok Area and whole Kingdom of Thailand. Bangkok Area is where workers and job-seekers are able to come to the central area. The geographic distribution of job search methods is provided in Table 14. Using newspaper and magazine is prevalent in the Bangkok Metropolis and Central Region. This is not true for northern, northeastern, and southern regions. The frequency of using job-networks is greater in the rural regions than in urbanized regions. Searching through public job-agencies is a minor method for all regions, especially the Bangkok Metropolis. Direct application and sending applications are not too different among regions. This geographic variation of job search methods seems to determine individual job-seeking activity. A simple model was built to focus on the accessibility of the large market.

7.5 Aggregate Patterns of the Choice of Job Search Method

Aggregate patterns to determine job search method among unemployed job-seekers were addressed by: (1) region, (2) gender, (3) level of education, (4) age, (5) the last occupation for unemployed, (6) the last industry for unemployed, and (7) the size of the last establishment for unemployed. Table 15 demonstrates an intuitive summary of job search methods by region. There is a clear contrast among the frequency of job search methods between regions. Unemployed job-seekers choosing job searches through the market concentrate in the Bangkok Metropolis and Central Area. Over 70% of population choose market searches. On the other hand, unemployed job-seekers residing in Northern, Northeastern, and Southern area have more tendency to choose job-searching through networks than do metropolitan residents.

The gender difference is just reflected by the difference of occupational (industry) choice between males and females in Table 16. Male unemployed choose network-based hiring occupation (industries), and females choose market-oriented hiring occupation (industries).

Table 9: Summary Statistics for Unemployed Job-Seekers, Drop-Outs and Employed

Table 9: Summary Statis	Job-Seekers		Drop-Outs	F	Employed	- J
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Age	27.774	9.15	31.58	11.853	38.265	11.616
Male	0.563	0.496	0.513	0.5	0.514	0.5
Married	0.345	0.475	0.51	0.5	0.72	0.449
Less than Elementary Level	0.157	0.364	0.341	0.474	0.423	0.494
Less than Lower Secondary Level	0.373	0.484	0.434	0.496	0.306	0.461
Upper Secondary Level	0.174	0.379	0.116	0.32	0.111	0.314
Diploma and University Level	0.294	0.456	0.109	0.312	0.158	0.364
Size of Less than 4 Persons	0.142	0.349	0.189	0.392	0.269	0.444
Size of 5-9 Persons	0.351	0.477	0.392	0.488	0.219	0.414
Size of over 10 Persons	0.508	0.5	0.418	0.493	0.512	0.5
Managers	0.022	0.146	0.011	0.105	0.087	0.281
Professionals	0.036	0.187	0.012	0.11	0.064	0.245
Associate Professionals	0.073	0.26	0.027	0.163	0.045	0.208
Clerks	0.084	0.277	0.032	0.175	0.042	0.201
Sales and Service	0.132	0.339	0.08	0.272	0.185	0.388
Skilled-Agriculture	0.14	0.347	0.4	0.49	0.265	0.441
Craftman	0.203	0.402	0.146	0.353	0.115	0.319
Machine Oprators	0.124	0.33	0.073	0.261	0.076	0.265
Elementary Occupations	0.187	0.39	0.218	0.413	0.121	0.326
Agriculture	0.196	0.397	0.505	0.5	0.305	0.46
Mining and Textiles	0.094	0.291	0.058	0.234	0.073	0.26
Chemical Manufacturing	0.065	0.247	0.039	0.193	0.043	0.204
Electorical Manufacturing	0.059	0.235	0.03	0.171	0.027	0.163
Electricity, Gas, Water	0.18	0.384	0.139	0.346	0.055	0.228
Wholesale	0.245	0.43	0.135	0.342	0.276	0.447
Transportation and Finance	0.056	0.229	0.032	0.175	0.043	0.203
Real estate and Public Service	0.041	0.199	0.022	0.146	0.067	0.25
Education and Health Service	0.034	0.182	0.015	0.122	0.075	0.264
Sewage and Refuse Diposal	0.03	0.171	0.026	0.158	0.035	0.183

Notes: Data is composed of all males and females between 15 and 65 years of age in 2001. The size of establishment, occupation, and industry for the unemployed job-seekers are coded by their last job. The definition of unemployed job-seekers is (1) persons 15 years of age and over who during the survey week did not work even for one hour, had no jobs, business enterprises, or farms of their own, from which they were temporarily absent, but were available for work: (2) those who had been looking for work, during the preceding 30 days: and (3) those who had not been looking for work because of illness or belief that no suitable work was available, waiting to take up a new job, waiting for agricultural season or other reasons.

Table 10: Summary Statistics for Unemployed Job-Seekers, Drop-Outs, and Employed

Table 10: Summary Statistic		noyed Job		op-Outs,		/eu
	Job-Seekers		Drop-Outs		Employed	
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Urban Residents	0.692	0.462	0.552	0.497	0.625	0.484
Bangkok Metropolis	0.163	0.369	0.041	0.198	0.056	0.231
Central	0.26	0.439	0.179	0.383	0.304	0.46
Northern	0.153	0.36	0.185	0.388	0.22	0.414
Northeastern	0.277	0.447	0.497	0.5	0.245	0.43
Southern	0.147	0.354	0.098	0.297	0.174	0.379
# of Populations	121.221	27.189	126.296	25.143	119.324	27.589
# of Workers	56.915	15.551	55.266	14.829	60.583	17.489
# of Agricultural Workers	15.105	18.105	26.914	21.716	20.56	21.443
# of Diploma and University Level	12.185	11.59	8.161	9.316	10.424	10.571
# of Upper Secondary Level	12.006	9.195	8.404	7.664	10.182	8.549
# of Elementary Level	29.534	10.287	30.248	10.333	28.338	11.718
# of Less than Elementary Level	38.902	17.727	46.614	16.137	41.355	17.69
Employment Rate in Block/Village	0.477	0.104	0.444	0.104	0.514	0.097
Employment Rate in Area*	0.495	0.054	0.472	0.057	0.514	0.097
Employment Rate of Agriculture	0.119	0.14	0.207	0.161	0.166	0.169
% of Diploma and University Level	0.105	0.098	0.069	0.081	0.093	0.096
% of Upper Secondary Level	0.102	0.072	0.069	0.061	0.088	0.067
% of Elementary Level	0.246	0.078	0.239	0.065	0.238	0.074
% of Less than Elementary Level	0.316	0.124	0.367	0.111	0.343	0.126
Average of Monthly Wages	1951.815	1635.103	1233.019	1273.334	1674.984	1550.81
Average of Monthly Income	2234.999	1934.216	1384.646	1487.668	1904.377	1867.015
Std. dev of Monthly Wages	3958.659	2848.159	2838.256	2272.665	3491.224	2568.966
Std. dev of Monthly Income	4519.728	3652.405	3160.266	2632.854	3944.62	3372.351
Average of Monthly Wages (area)*	1958.137	1171.104	1356.635	911.159	1631.689	937.72
Average of Monthly Income (area)*	2238.718	1393.904	1526.297	1074.629	1848.098	1115.601
Std. dev of Monthly Wages (area)*	4740.078	2255.052	3661.045	1699.16	4087.364	1762.831
Std. dev of Monthly Income (area)*	5614.672	3144.29	4185.194	2287.855	4737.804	2457.952
Less than 1 Months	0.17	0.376	0.174	0.379		
1-2.9 Months	0.268	0.443	0.366	0.482		
3-5.9 Months	0.254	0.436	0.257	0.437		
6-8.9 Months	0.076	0.265	0.047	0.212		
9-11.9 jonths	0.029	0.168	0.014	0.116		
More than 11.9 Months	0.195	0.396	0.137	0.344		

Notes: * relates to statistics at the area level. The remaining variables were calculated at the block/village level.

Table 11: Summary Statistics for Unemployed Job-Seekers by Reason for Displacement

Table 11: Summary Statistics	Establishment Reasons	cereis by	Other Reasons	piacement
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Age	33.014	9.013	27.323	9.022
Male	0.618	0.487	0.558	0.497
Married	0.531	0.5	0.329	0.47
Less than Elementary Level	0.246	0.432	0.15	0.357
Less than Lower Secondary Level	0.411	0.493	0.37	0.483
Upper Secondary Level	0.13	0.338	0.178	0.382
Diploma and University Level	0.213	0.41	0.301	0.459
Size of Less than 4 Persons	0.172	0.379	0.137	0.344
Size of 5-9 Persons	0.356	0.48	0.35	0.477
Size of over 10 Persons	0.472	0.501	0.513	0.5
Managers	0.048	0.215	0.018	0.134
Professionals	0.039	0.193	0.036	0.186
Associate Professionals	0.068	0.252	0.074	0.261
Clerks	0.101	0.303	0.081	0.273
Sales and Service	0.217	0.413	0.12	0.325
Skilled-Agriculture	0.029	0.168	0.155	0.362
Craftman	0.203	0.403	0.203	0.402
Machine Oprators	0.169	0.376	0.118	0.323
Elementary Occupations	0.126	0.332	0.195	0.396
Agriculture	0.039	0.193	0.218	0.413
Mining and Textiles	0.097	0.296	0.093	0.291
Chemical Manufacturing	0.082	0.275	0.063	0.243
Electorical Manufacturing	0.043	0.204	0.061	0.239
Electricity, Gas, Water	0.159	0.367	0.182	0.386
Wholesale	0.396	0.49	0.224	0.417
Transportation and Finance	0.111	0.315	0.048	0.214
Real estate and Public Service	0.034	0.181	0.043	0.202
Education and Health Service	0.014	0.12	0.037	0.189
Sewage and Refuse Disposal	0.024	0.154	0.031	0.174

Notes: Data is composed of all males and females between 15 and 65 years of age in 2001. The size of establishment, occupation, and industry for the unemployed job-seekers are coded by their last job. The definition of unemployed job-seekers is (1) persons 15 years of age and over who during the survey week did not work even for one hour, had no jobs, business enterprises, or farms of their own, from which they were temporarily absent, but were available for work: (2) those who had been looking for work, during the preceding 30 days: and (3) those who had not been looking for work because of illness or belief that no suitable work was available, waiting to take up a new job, waiting for agricultural season or other reasons.

Table 12: Summary Statistics for Unemployed Job-Seekers by Reason for Displacement

Table 12: Summary Statistics		ekers by l	-	lacement
	Establishment Reasons		Other Reasons	
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Urban Residents	0.792	0.407	0.683	0.465
Bangkok Metropolis	0.329	0.471	0.148	0.355
Central	0.208	0.407	0.265	0.441
Northern	0.135	0.343	0.155	0.362
Northeastern	0.188	0.392	0.284	0.451
Southern	0.14	0.348	0.148	0.355
# of Populations	119.841	26.061	121.34	27.286
# of Workers	57.884	14.328	56.832	15.652
# of Agricultural Workers	9.097	14.147	15.622	18.316
# of diploma and University Level	14.034	10.938	12.026	11.633
# of Upper Secondary Level	13.473	7.677	11.88	9.304
# of Elementary Level	29.599	10.879	29.529	10.237
# of Less than Elementary Level	34.531	16.154	39.278	17.809
Employment Rate in Block/Village	0.489	0.095	0.476	0.105
Employment Rate in Area*	0.508	0.05	0.494	0.055
Employment Rate of Agriculture	0.07	0.108	0.124	0.142
% of Diploma and University Level	0.123	0.1	0.103	0.098
% of Upper Secondary Level	0.117	0.071	0.101	0.072
% of Elementary Level	0.247	0.075	0.246	0.078
% of Less than Elementary Level	0.283	0.112	0.319	0.125
Average of Monthly Wages	2356.906	1766.908	1916.977	1618.934
Average of Monthly Income	2694.063	2062.372	2195.52	1918.125
Std. dev of Monthly Wages	4652.544	3142.608	3898.985	2814.136
Std. dev of Monthly Income	5245.93	3615.408	4457.275	3649.57
Average of Monthly Wages (area)*	2407.34	1270.95	1919.506	1154.264
Average of Monthly Income (area)*	2764.444	1516.903	2193.507	1373.79
Std. dev of Monthly Wages (area)*	5647.384	2537.317	4662.051	2212.384
Std. dev of Monthly Income (area)*	6834.977	3557.184	5509.727	3084.578
Less than 1 Months	0.087	0.282	0.182	0.386
1-2.9 Months	0.188	0.392	0.279	0.449
3-5.9 Months	0.246	0.432	0.255	0.436
6-8.9 Months	0.087	0.282	0.074	0.262
9-11.9 Months	0.034	0.181	0.028	0.166
More than 11.9 Months	0.348	0.477	0.174	0.379

Notes: * relates to statistics at the area level. The remaining variables were calculated at the block/village level.

Table 13: Job Search Method and Frequency

	Whole Sample		With Reason for Unemployment	
	Number	Frequency	Number	Frequency
Newspaper/Magazine	308	12%	143	8%
Radio/TV	38	1%	19	1%
Friends/Relatives	957	37%	727	43%
Public Agencies	243	9%	132	8%
Direct Application	939	36%	588	35%
Sending Application	100	4%	57	3%
Others	30	1%	24	1%
Unknown	1	0%	0	0%
Total	2,616	100%	1690	100%

Note. The survey was conducted by asking "How did you seek work or apply for a job?". The potential answers were Newspaper/Magazine, Radio/TV, Seeking assistance of friends or relatives, Checking at a public employment agency, Direct application, Sending application, and Others.

Source: The Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001. The National Statistical Office, Thailand.

Table 14: Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Region

Table 14. Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Region									
	Newspaper	Radio	Friends	Public	Direct	Sending	Others	Unknown	Total
Bangkok	146	4	104	19	132	12	8	0	425
	(34%)	(1%)	(24%)	(4%)	(31%)	(3%)	(2%)	(0%)	
Central	75	3	186	71	304	34	7	1	681
	(11%)	(0%)	(27%)	(10%)	(45%)	(5%)	(1%)	(0%)	
North	25	6	170	42	140	17	1	0	401
	(6%)	(1%)	(42%)	(10%)	(35%)	(4%)	(0%)	(0%)	
Northeast	44	12	339	62	233	27	7	0	724
	(6%)	(2%)	(47%)	(9%)	(32%)	(4%)	(1%)	(0%)	
South	18	13	158	49	130	10	7	0	385
	(5%)	(3%)	(41%)	(13%)	(34%)	(3%)	(2%)	(0%)	
Total	308	38	957	243	939	100	30	1	2,616
	(12%)	(1%)	(37%)	(9%)	(36%)	(4%)	(1%)	(0%)	,

Notes: A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

Table 15: Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Region

	Networks	Markets	Total
Bangkok metropolis	104	313	417
	(25%)	(75%)	
Central	186	487	673
	(28%)	(72%)	
North	170	230	400
	(43%)	(57%)	
Northeast	339	378	717
~ .	(47%)	(53%)	
South	158	220	378
	(42%)	(58%)	
Total	957	1,628	2,585
	(37%)	(63%)	

Notes: A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

Source: The Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001. The National Statistical Office, Thailand.

Table 16: Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Gender

	Networks	Markets	Total
Female	378	753	1,131
3. f. 1	(33%)	(67%)	1 454
Male	579 (40%)	875 (60%)	1,454
Total	957	1,628	2,585
	(37%)	(63%)	2,300

Notes: A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

Table 17 demonstrates very clear contrast between the unemployed who have less than elementary levels of education and the unemployed who have upper secondary levels of education. Over 60% of the lower educated choose to search through their own networks while over 70% of the higher educated go to market. Male unemployed tend to seek jobs by network. Females tend to seek jobs through market searches.

Table 17: Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Education

	Networks	Markets	Total
Less than Elementary Level	256	149	405
	(63%)	(37%)	
Less than Secondary Level	450	517	967
	(47%)	(53%)	
Upper Secondary Level	129	324	453
	(28%)	(72%)	
Diploma and University Level	122	634	756
	(16%)	(84%)	
Total	957	1,624	2,581
	(37%)	(63%)	

Notes: The term "Less than Elementary Level" can be defined as a person of no education or less than elementarily level education. The term "Less than Secondary Level" can be defined as a person of elementary and lower secondary level education. The term "Upper Secondary Level" is to refer to education levels of general and academic, vocational, and teacher training. We use the term "Diploma and University Level" refers to education levels of academic, higher technical education, and teacher training.

A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

Source: The Thailand Labor Force Survey, 2001. The National Statistical Office, Thailand.

Table 18 presents the number and frequency of choosing a job search method by age group in 2001. Young job-seekers tend to go to market except for the group less than 15 years of age. On the other hand, middle and old aged job-seekers tend to use networks. Apparently, middle and old aged-job seekers accumulate their own job-networks and select efficient networks through the length of labor market activity.

Evidence of the difference of job search method by unemployed seeker's last occupation may be seen in Table 19. Skilled-agricultural workers and elementary workers tend to use networks. Search methods for managers, professionals, and associate professionals concentrate in the market. However, managers use more networks than professionals and associate professionals. Clerks, service workers, and craftsmen go to the market.

Table 20 shows the pattern of search method by last industry. Unemployed job-seekers who belong to agriculture, electricity, gas and construction based industries use networks. On the other hand, unemployed searchers belonging to education, health, real estate; public administration, transport and financial intermediation, and electrical manufacturing industries tend to go to market. There appears to be a large difference of firm vacancy-posting costs between industries. This difference may be due to the difference of search costs among job-seekers who have different abilities.

Table 21 shows evidence of the difference of search methods by size of the establishment to which unemployed job-seeker's belonged. Unemployed who have been in the very small (less than 4 persons) and small (5 to 9 persons) size of establishment tend to use networks. Unemployed who belonged to firm size of more than 10 persons go to market. This may be interpreted by firm search activity and individual abilities. Assume that small firms tend to use networks to reduce hiring costs. If the unemployed would like to find a job in a small firm, they tend to use job-networks that are connected to small firms. This explanation is reflected by the characteristics of firm's search activity. Establishment size is also a proxy of individual abilities. If a larger size establishment posts a vacancy through markets and gathers many applications to find a very able workers, then only very able workers enter it. It is safe to say that there is a correlation between abilities and the size of establishment.

Table 18: Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Age Group

	Networks	Markets	Total
Between 16-20 Years Old	205	279	484
	(42%)	(58%)	
Between 21-25 Years Old	211	620	831
	(25%)	(75%)	
Between 26-30 Years Old	143	332	475
	(30%)	(70%)	
Between 31-35 Years Old	120	171	291
	(41%)	(59%)	
Between 36-40 Years Old	93	101	194
	(48%)	(52%)	
Between 41-45 Years Old	69	63	132
	(52%)	(48%)	
Between 46-50 Years Old	50	22	72
	(69%)	(31%)	
Between 51-55 Years Old	28	20	48
D	(58%)	(42%)	
Between 56-60 Years Old	15	2	17
D	(88%)	(12%)	-
Between 61-65 Years Old	3	4	7
TD 4 1	(43%)	(57%)	0.551
Total	937	1,614	2,551
	(37%)	(63%)	

Notes: A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

Table 19: Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Last Occupation

	Networks	Markets	Total
Managers	13	22	35
	(37%)	(63%)	
Professionals	17	42	59
	(29%)	(71%)	
Associate Professionals	24	97	121
	(20%)	(80%)	
Clerks	23	118	141
	(16%)	(84%)	
Service and Sales	93	126	219
	(42%)	(58%)	
Skilled-Agricultural Workers	158	74	232
	(68%)	(32%)	
Craftsmen	158	182	340
	(46%)	(54%)	
Machine Operators	63	146	209
	(30%)	(70%)	
Elementary Occupations	178	132	310
- -	(57%)	(43%)	
Total	727	939	1,666
	(44%)	(56%)	*

Notes: Managers refer to (1) legislators, senior officials, (2) corporate managers, and (3) general managers. Professionals refer to (1) physical, mathematical, and engineering science professionals, (2) life and health science professionals, and (3) teaching and other professionals. Associate professionals refer to associate job of Professionals. Elementary occupations refer to (1) sales and service elementary occupations, (2) agricultural, fishery, and related laborers, and (3) laborers in mining, construction, and manufacturing. A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

Table 20: Number and Frequency of Search Methods by Last Industry

	Networks	Markets	Total
Agriculture	232	96	328
	(71%)	(29%)	
Mining and Textiles	45	111	156
	(29%)	(71%)	
Chemical Manufacturing	33	75	108
	(31%)	(69%)	
Electrical Manufacturing	27	71	98
	(28%)	(72%)	
Electricity, Gas, Water, Construction	169	131	300
	(56%)	(44%)	
Wholesale	146	259	405
	(36%)	(64%)	
Transportation and Rinancial Intermediation	25	67	92
	(27%)	(73%)	
Real Estate and Public Administration	16	54	70
	(23%)	(77%)	
Education, Health, Social Work	12	46	58
	(21%)	(79%)	
Sewage and Refuse Disposal and Others	22	29	51
	(43%)	(57%)	
Total	727	939	1,666
	(44%)	(56%)	

Notes: A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.

Table 21: Number and Frequency Search Methods by Size of the Establishment of Last Job

	Networks	Markets	Total
Less than 4 Persons	98	94	192
	(51%)	(49%)	
5-9 Persons	238	230	468
	(51%)	(49%)	
More than 10 Persons	215	459	674
	(32%)	(68%)	
Total	551	783	1,334
	(41%)	(59%)	

Notes: A job search through "Networks" is seeking assistance of friends or relatives. A job search through "Markets" includes (1) newspaper and magazine, (2) radio and TV, (3) checking at a public employment agency, (4) direct application, (5) sending application, and (6) others.