IDE Discussion Papers are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussions and critical comments

**IDE DISCUSSION PAPER No. 172** 

# Empirical Global Value Chain Analysis in Electronics and Automobile Industries: An Application of Asian International Input-Output Tables

Hiroshi Oikawa \*

# Abstract

This study aims to examine the international value distribution structure among major East Asian economies and the US. The mainstream trade theory explains the gains from trade; however, global value chain (GVC) approach emphasises uneven benefits of globalization among trading partners. The present study is mainly based on this view, examining which economy gains the most and which the least from the East Asian production networks. Two key industries, i.e., electronics and automobile, are our principle focus. Input-output method is employed to trace the creation and flows of value-added within the region. A striking fact is that some ASEAN economies increasingly reduce their shares of value-added, taken by developed countries, particularly by Japan. Policy implications are discussed in the final section.

Keywords: Global Value Chains (GVCs), Foreign Direct Investment (FDI),

Electronics, Automobile, Input-Output Analysis

JEL classification: C67, F23, L62, L63,

<sup>\*</sup> Associate Professor, University of Nagasaki, Siebold Campus (oikawa@sun.ac.jp)

The Institute of Developing Economies (IDE) is a semigovernmental, nonpartisan, nonprofit research institute, founded in 1958. The Institute merged with the Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO) on July 1, 1998. The Institute conducts basic and comprehensive studies on economic and related affairs in all developing countries and regions, including Asia, the Middle East, Africa, Latin America, Oceania, and Eastern Europe.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s). Publication does not imply endorsement by the Institute of Developing Economies of any of the views expressed within.

INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPING ECONOMIES (IDE), JETRO 3-2-2, Wakaba, Mihama-ku, Chiba-shi Chiba 261-8545, JAPAN

©2008 by Institute of Developing Economies, JETRO No part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior permission of the IDE-JETRO.

# **1. Introduction**

Over the past several decades, a fundamental change has continued in the economic structure of East Asia, in which regional production networks have been steadily expanded and deepened. A growing number of firms in the region have been increasingly embedded into the regional production system. Petri (2006) clearly shows that the inter-dependence of the regional economies has reached the historically high; moreover, other studies suggest that the East Asia is more advanced, deeply-integrated, and closely-connected in trade and investment networks than other developing areas (Lall et al 2004, Kimura & Ando 2003, Ng & Yeats 1999).

There are several salient features in the structure and process of East Asian economic integration. First, transnational corporations (TNCs) have been an important driving force for the regional network formation. Second, the East Asian economy has shown an outstanding economic performance, particularly in comparison with other developing areas, in which firms and industries of this region have reaped enormous benefits from the regional production networks. Obviously, these two features are closely related each other. The regional networks provide important opportunities for learning, capability building, marketing channels, and financial sources for local firms to meet global competition. TNCs have played a central role in organising and coordinating cross-border economic activities. Close and interactive relationships with TNCs are inevitable for local firms in acquiring, refining, and upgrading their technological and managerial capabilities (Ernst and Kim 2002). Thus, the regional trade and investment networks are a key to explain successful East Asian industrialisation.

However, several important questions remain unsolved, addressing the issues on the impact of the regional integration on East Asia's economic development. The questions are principally related to the gains from external networks. For instance, does the commitment to the regional production networks provide even benefits for all participants at country, firm and individual levels? Do some economies gain more at the loss of others? In what ways is each economy embedded into the networks? Turning to the country studies, is Japanese economic dominancy in the region still sustained; otherwise, is it enhanced or eroded? What role has the US economy played in East Asia's production networks? What is the impact of Asian financial crisis on the structure of regional production networks, and what is its economic consequence?

This study aims to address these questions. These are totally unexplored in the existing

literature, since the growing number of studies on East Asian integration has been largely confined to examine underlying trend in regional trade and investment flows (Sohn 2002, Kawai 2005, Kimura and Ando 2005). These studies have shown undisputable *evidence* that the East Asian economy has been deeply integrated and TNCs play a central role in this process; however, little is known about the economic *consequence* of such integration. This is the focus of the present study.

The electronics and automobile production networks are my principle concerns. The reasons of my choice are explained as follows. First, these industries are particularly important for export-oriented industrialisation in East Asia. The industries have been successful in generating an immense amount of employment and wages, and providing opportunities of outsourcing and technological upgrading for local suppliers. Second, the industries have extensively created dense production networks within the region. Third, in general, the development of these industries has been heavily fuelled by a massive inflow of foreign direct investment (FDI), resulting in TNC-driven regional networks in the sectors. Fourth, due to different FDI policies adopted by the East Asian governments, it is expected the nature of the production networks differ widely among individual countries as well as between the two industries. These features provide ideal samples to examine the nature and implications of regional production networks.

A core variable to use in my study is value-added, as the overall welfare of a country can be easily measured with this. My study aims to estimate how much value-added is created and retained at home and flown-out externally. For this calculation, the input-output analysis is the main tool. In the analysis, value is created by inter-industrial linkages of the economy as a system, and is distributed in the form of wages, profits, taxes, and other rewards. As a result, Input-output method lucidly reveals the inter-industry value flows. The Asian international input-output tables in 1990, 1995, and 2000 are used for this calculation. Empirical results are carefully reviewed in author's fieldwork in several Southeast Asian countries as well as in Japan.

The present study is structured as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the theories to approach the issue concerned. Global value chain (GVC) analysis is mainly examined. Section 3 reviews the development of electronics and automobile industries, mainly focusing on the differences in FDI and trade policies among East Asian governments. The methodological issues are discussed in section 4 where the input-output method is explained and applied to the estimation of international value distribution. Section 5

offers the result and implications. A summary of the findings and the conclusion are presented in the final section.

## 2. Global Value Chains and Uneven Development

Questions related to the impact and implications of globalisation on international distribution of income have stimulated intellectual concerns. There are two theoretical frameworks to approach this issue. The first is the mainstream trade theory. The theory tends to emphasise the gains from trade, demonstrating that the international division of labour benefit all participating countries. Each country should be specialised in the production in which the country has a comparative advantage. However, the theory does not provide a clear explanation about the allocation of gains among trade partners.

The second approach is to focus on this aspect, under the heading of the global value chain (GVC) analysis (Gereffi and Korzeniewicz 1994, Henderson 1998, Gereffi 1999, Kaplinsky 2000, Gereffi and Kaplinsky 2001). Global value chains refer to the full range of activities from upstream to final stage of production, encompassing design, processing, manufacturing, and marketing of a product. The initiative to form global networks is normally taken by leading TNCs; therefore, the focus of the analysis is centred on firms, especially TNCs which coordinate and control the organisation of the entire production process. TNCs' governance often leads to making contributions to the upgrading of the entire global value chain. However, the analysis puts more stress on the fact that "the value-added capacities of companies at each nodal point in the chain tend to vary and in any case are constrained by the way the chain is organized and by the nature of corporate power within it." (Henderson 1998: 369). As a result, this approach tends to emphasise uneven gains from trade and the underlying role of TNCs in exaggerating this tendency. The approach sees that uneven benefit from trade and investment is closely associated with the spread and penetration of TNCs' global activities. A country possessing only weak technological capabilities are forced to offer falling real wages to attract TNCs and serve themselves as simple manufacturing bases (Fröbel et al. 1980). Profit is greatest in the relatively concentrated nodes of the upstream and downstream chains which are usually located in developed areas, while less profitable segments are left to less developed locations. Moreover, the TNC dependence often results in outflows of value-added in terms of payment for imported materials, license fees, loyalty, and repatriation of profit. Based on this perspective, the GVC approach posits that the economic consequence of TNC-led production networks often result in uneven development among network participants.

It is important to note that the two approaches do not necessarily show a conflicting view on the gains from trade. Instead, the difference between the two is the points they emphasise. The former highlights the gains from trade; on the contrary, the latter pays a lager attention to the distributive aspects of the gains. The GVC approach is applicable to the present study, as our main concern is to examine the distribution of value in the region. It is highly possible that the East Asian economies enjoy the gains from trade in an absolute term; however, no study has examined which economy gains the most and which the least. This study aims to investigate this.

## 3. Electronics and Automobile Value Chains in East Asia

The East Asian electronics and automobile industries are chosen as the primary focuses of this study. These industries are selected for the following reasons.

First, electronics and automobile industries have been one of the major driving forces for remarkable economic development in this region. The industries have been one of the fastest growing industries in world production and export. This is particular in East Asia, as the region's export and output growth of these industries have far exceeded the world average, steadily gaining the market shares over the last few decades. In addition to the export expansion, the industries have played a central role in region's successful industrialisation, making a tremendous contribution to employment creation, value-added generation and technological advancement. Second, their rapid development in output and export has long been boosted by massive inflows of FDI from developed nations. An important outcome of FDI-led industrialisation was to create a closer, more complex regional production links than traditional arm's length trade. Huge FDI inflows often allow a number of local firms in this area to share some fractions of international production networks. Finally, for a technical reason, electronics and automobile productions are more easily fragmented than other industries. These industries cover a broad range of products that include truly high-tech products such as microprocessors and engines as well as fairly traditional mass-produced commodities such as coils, simple computer memory, and plastic parts. At the same time, the industries produce and use a larger number of intermediate goods, providing wider opportunities for local and international outsourcing and subcontracting. Thus, the focus on the electronics and automobile industries may enable us to capture a great variety of sectoral characteristics and structure of regional production networks.

A comparative study between the two industries is one of the main focuses of this study. Despite their similarities in many points such as the TNC-dependence and the aggressive roles of governments in supporting indigenous sectors, in a closer examination, there are slight differences in nature between the two industries. First, electronics is more export-oriented than automobiles. During the decade of the 1990s, East Asian electronics exports grew by 13.3% p.a., while the auto export only by 4.7%. Second, technically, electronics products are more easily fragmented than autos'. Such differences in product natures reflect different industrial organisation of each industry. Electronics value chains are relatively open, on short-term basis, decentralised, and nimble in supplier switching, while automobile production networks are relatively cautious, centralised, long-term oriented and stable.

In addition to the industrial study, a comparison of each country's performance is integral in the present study. This also aims to clarify the different characteristics of regional production networks. Actually, East Asia's government policies in supporting local industries differ widely, particularly in the policies to attract FDI and TNCs. For example, except for a few cases like Korea, East Asian electronics industry has long enjoyed relatively liberalised and open-door policies for FDI, while selective and heavily interventionist policies were often introduced to the automobile sectors. Based on this view, it is reasonable to assume that different government policies will result in different economic performances among East Asian economies. In this regard, Lall (1992; 10) categorises wide spectrum of industrial and FDI policies of East Asian governments simply into the following four categories.

(1) Passive open-door policies on TNCs without intervention in other ways to promote selectively industrial development (e.g., Hong Kong)

(2) Active industrial policies and promotion of local enterprises in certain activities, but effectively open-door, non-interventionist policies in most export-oriented industries such as electronics (e.g., Thailand and Malaysia).

(3) Heavy TNC participation in manufacturing without seeking to promote local industrialist, but pervasive and selective intervention to guide and induce investors to upgrade their activities and increase local technological activities (e.g., Singapore).

(4) Selective restricted FDI to maximise reliance on externalised forms of technology transfer in the context of comprehensive set of industrial policies to deepen the manufacturing sector, promote local linkages and increase local innovative capabilities

(e.g., Korea, Taiwan, and former Japan).

Korea and Taiwan, or NIEs in general, have pursued a similar type of industrial policies that aimed to promote indigenous firms for technology deepening. FDI is assigned as a second role in importing and transferring advanced technologies. Such FDI strategies were fairly consistent with other industrial polices the economies adopted. The domestic market was not fully opened to free trade in order to provide domestic ready markets for national champion companies. The harmful effects of protection were partly offset by incentives and pressures to export their products in competitive international markets. The government heavily controlled financial flows to secure low cost capital for them. As a reasonable corporate strategy pursued under such restricted and controlled FDI scheme, Korean and Taiwanese firms began to seek product assembly joint ventures with US, Japanese, and European companies. As firms acquired more knowledge and production know-how about assembly, the OEM (original equipment manufacture) was gradually introduced as an alternative to joint ventures (Hobday 1995). Furthermore, in successful latecomer firms which aggressively accumulated process and product design capabilities, the OEM began to overlap with ODM (own-design and manufacture) which requires more comprehensive technological capabilities including system design, product-process interfacing. automation technology, component design and post-production skills. The important role of FDI and TNCs in industrialisation is still undeniable in Korea and Taiwan; however, TNCs' local activities were severely constrained and guided to maximise the spillovers to indigenous firms, rather than to replace them. Hobday (2001) calls this catch-up mechanism as OEM/ODM system, in which Korea and Taiwan are referred as the successful cases.

In stark contrast to Korea and Taiwan, several ASEAN countries such as Malaysia and Thailand, have largely admitted the dominance of TNC investments. The government's primary role has been in providing infrastructure and incentives, including tax-free holidays and the establishment of free trade zones under relatively stabilised macroeconomic environment. These factors have successfully facilitated as major attractions for TNCs, particularly in electronics. Such industrial strategy allows large TNCs to decide on corporate strategy, technology transfer and local technology development, rather than relying on the development of indigenous firms as pursued by Korea and Taiwan. Hobday (2001) calls their development strategies as TNC-led industrialisation.

TNCs' overwhelming presence in ASEAN's core industrial sectors is partly explained by the relative absence of competitive local firms. The economies are still relatively at an earlier stage of development. Thus, TNC-led industrial strategy is rather inevitable for such an economy which is not capable of economically technological deepening. Under such circumstances, better outcomes are expected by inviting TNCs to maximise the spill-over effects from their local operation, rather than by keeping them out to make more spaces for indigenous firms. It seems that ASEAN economies, particularly Malaysian electronics and Thai automobile industries, have principally followed this principle.

If the above interpretation is acceptable, an important question arises. Have those economies in East Asia enjoyed even benefits from those different types of industrialisation? Instead, have the different industrial strategies resulted in different economic performances? Furthermore, the issue is closely related to the following concerns. In Korea and Taiwan, have the indigenous capabilities been suitably enhanced with a relatively small presence of local TNCs? On the other hand, have TNC participation successfully enhanced, or at least compensated, relatively weak technological capabilities of ASEAN economies? Instead, is there any evidence that TNCs have repressed local entrepreneurship and take benefits away from local economies? What benefits have TNCs enjoyed from the regional production networks in East Asia? These are highly empirical questions and may not derive decisive conclusions a priori. The next section explains a quantitative method to approach this issue.

# 4. Methodology

This section explains the methodological issues related to identifying relative 'winners and losers' in regional production networks.

As is examined in Section 2, value is an important concept in the GVC studies. In an accounting system, value is captured as the sum of value-added such as wages, profits, and natural resource rents. In addition, government taxes are defrayed from value-added. This study sees that value-added is a proper working definition of value in the context of my study. Although economic success is often explained by the growth of output, employment, and exports, value-added generation is a fundamental variable for a country's well-being. Based on this view, this study uses the variable 'value-added' to

assess the relative performance in each individual economy in the region.

Value is normally generated in a profit-seeking activity conducted by an individual corporation for which a number of intermediate inputs are used. Such intermediates are products or services supplied by, in turn, other firms. Therefore, value-added, or value in general, is created within industrial linkages as a unit of system. The system normally involves not only domestically-produced goods but also imported inputs produced by foreign agents. As a result, a dependence on external intermediate inputs comes into view as an international transfer of value-added among different industries and countries. Obviously, the inter-industry relationships cannot be stable: some industries in a country are successful to gain increasing value-added while others are not. Thus, mapping the distribution of value-added among internationally linked sectors examines the successful performance.

In this study, the following three types of indexes are mainly used to examine the performance of the industries and the countries concerned.

(a) International Value Distribution index (IVD), indicating how many percentages of value is retained within the concerned economy and flown-out to other economies, regions, and disappeared as tariffs or transportation costs.

(b) Regional Value Share index (RVS), indicating how much the industry contributed to the creation of regional value-added, measuring by the percentage shares of the value-added the concerned industry created to the total amount of value-added created in the region.

(c) Value Origin Share index (VOS), indicating how many percentages of value-added originated ether from the domestic sector or other economies in the region.

#### Model

For quantifying the regional value distribution, a simple input-output method is applied to my study. The immediate advantage of the 'value-added' definition is an applicability of input-output methods for mapping the flows of value-added among industries. Input-output analysis sees an economy as a system of inter-linkages between all inputs and outputs. The Asian International Input-Output tables 1990, 1995 and 2000 are used. An important feature of the tables is that all listed countries are treated as non-competitive and endogenous. The analysis simply follows the works of Matsumura & Fujikawa (1998), Oikawa (2005), and Fujikawa et al (2005).

Suppose that the total numbers of sectors and countries are n and s, respectively. Then, the following supply-demand balance equation holds in the matrix form.

$$X = A \ X + F$$
(1)  
where  $X = \{ x_1^1, ..., x_n^1; x_1^2, ..., x_n^2; ..., ;x_1^s, ..., x_n^s \}$   

$$F = \{ f_1^1, ..., f_n^1; f_1^2, ..., f_n^2; ..., ;f_1^s, ..., f_n^s \}$$
  

$$A \begin{bmatrix} A^{1l} & A^{12} & ... & A^{ln} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A^{nl} & ... & ... & A^{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A^{hk} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{hk} & a_{12}^{hk} & ... & a_{1n}^{hk} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$ 

In equation (1)  $x_n^s$  and  $f_n^s$  denote vectors of gross output and final demands of  $s^{\text{th}}$  country's  $n^{\text{th}}$  sector, respectively. A dot (') demotes the transpose.  $A^{hk}$  is the coefficient matrix of  $h^{\text{th}}$  county's product used for  $k^{\text{th}}$  county's unit output.  $a_{ij}^{hk}$ , an element of  $A^{hk}$  matrix, represents the value-termed coefficient of the  $h^{\text{th}}$  country's  $i^{\text{th}}$  sector to use for the unit output of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  sector in  $k^{\text{th}}$  country ( $a_{ij}^{hk} \ge 0$ ). A is a large square matrix of  $(ns \times ns)$ .

The two points should be noted for the subsequent argument. First, as is explained in a standard textbook,  $[I - A]^{-1}$  is the Leontief's inverse matrix, representing how much the incremental gross output is induced directly and indirectly by the unit increase in final demand. The word 'indirectly' refers to the recursive increase of the concerned products due to every sectors' interdependence. The following argument does not explicitly mention this; however, both direct and indirect impact is always considered. Second, for simplicity, the final demand vector *F* is omitted hereafter, assuming the increase of final demands is set to unity evenly across the all relevant sectors.

By pre-multiplying this with the diagonal matrix of value-added ratio, G, the international distribution of value among *s* countries is obtained as follows.

$$D = G [I - A]^{-1}$$
 (2)

where 
$$G \begin{bmatrix} g^1 & \dots & \dots & 0 & & & r_1^k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & g^2 & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & g^n & & & 0 & \dots & \dots & r_n^k \end{bmatrix}$$

*I* is the identity matrix.  $r_j^i$  denotes the value-added ratio of  $i^{th}$  country's  $j^{th}$  sector. *D* appears as  $(ns \times ns)$  matrix, specifically expressed in the matrix form as follows.

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} D^{11} & D^{12} & \dots & D^{1n} & & v_{11}^{hk} & v_{12}^{hk} & \dots & v_{1s}^{hk} \\ D^{21} & \vdots & D^{hk} & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ D^{n1} & \dots & \dots & D^{nn} & & v_{s1}^{hk} & \dots & v_{ss}^{hk} \end{bmatrix}$$

In the above matrices,  $v_{ij}^{hk}$ , which represents the share of the value-added gained by *h*th country's *i*th sector which was originally generated by the production activities conducted in *j*th sector of *k*th country. In other words, the unit production of *k*th country's *j*th sector outflows the amount of  $v_{ij}^{hk}$  value-added to *h*th country's *i*th sector.

Imports from Hong Kong and the rest of the world (ROW) are exogenous in the Asian International Input-Output tables. Therefore, it is not possible to trace the outflows of value-added to these areas. Due to such a technical constraint, the leakages of import costs from these areas are treated as parallels to value-added. The direct and indirect cost leakages to Hong Kong and ROW are calculated by the following formula.

$$M^{h} = a^{h} [I - A]^{-1}$$
 where  $h = \text{HKG}$  and ROW (3)

In equation (3),  $a^{HKG}$  and  $a^{ROW}$  are  $(1 \times ns)$  row vectors whose elements are import coefficients from Hong Kong and ROW. Note again that this is not value, but payments for imported materials from Hong Kong and ROW. The matrix  $M^h$  appears as of  $a(1 \times ns)$  row vector.

The principle focus of this study is NOT to obtain the absolute scale of value-added multipliers, but to examine the relative distributions of value-added among the sectors of the economies concerned. Thus, standardization of scale is required. For this, the column sum of D,  $M^{HKG}$  and  $M^{ROW}$  should be re-calculated to be set to equal to unity. After this manipulation, the modified elements of D,  $M^{HKG}$  and  $M^{ROW}$  matrices are expressed with hat (^).

Finally, it is of more convenient use to transform the variables into aggregated index. With the notations used above, the three key indexes, IVD, RVS, and VOS, are defined as follows.

IVD: 
$$V_{j}^{k} \stackrel{h}{=} v_{ij}^{hk}$$
 (4)

**RVS:** 
$$R_{j}^{k} = v_{ij}^{n} / v_{ij}^{n} / v_{ij}^{hk}$$
 (5)

VOS: 
$$O_{i}^{k}$$
  $v_{ij}^{hk}$   $v_{ij}^{hk}$  (for domestic origin) (6)

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & s & \hat{n} & \hat{n} & \hat{n} & s & \hat{n} \\ ( & v_{ij}^{hk} & v_{ij}^{hk} ) & / & v_{ij}^{hk} \end{pmatrix} / \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{jj}^{hk}$$
 (for foreign origins)

The IVD index refers to the total share of value-added retained domestically as well as that of flown-out to other economies. The index clearly shows how much of value-added out-flown from the industry and which country gains how much from this leakage. Next, the RVS index indicates the relative contribution of the industry to the total value-added generation of the all other sectors in the region. In other words, this index shows the industry's relative scale of value creation in the region. Finally, the index VOS shows a relative share of origin (i.e., either domestic or external) in value-added creation. This may need more explanations for clear understanding. Total value-added a country enjoys can be decomposed into home and foreign origins. Now let us take the Japanese electronics industry for an instance. The total amount of value-added Japan can enjoys form all East Asian electronics industries depends on two elements; (i) how much home electronics sector produce value-added and retain it inside Japan, and (2) how much Japan capture the value-added the other countries' electronics sectors create. The latter is explained by means of Japanese intermediate goods used directly and indirectly for the foreign electronics sectors. Note that, in this formulation, direct and indirect intermediates may include Japanese goods and services other than electronics products, such as agricultural products, natural resources, steel, chemical water, electricity as well as business services like trade and finance. In this context, the VOS index is useful to show the relative shares of external gains of the sector concerned.

#### Measuring the' relative' winners and losers

The mainstream trade theory posits that the international division of labour will benefits all participating agents. Whether this is true is not a central concern of this paper. Instead, this study is to evaluate the gains in a *relative* term; which country's which industry gains the most value-added, while which gains the least. The implication of the word 'relative' may be important in the context of East Asian development, as East Asia as a whole has enjoyed larger benefits from international trade than other developing economies. Simple statistical data clearly support this presumption; in the 1990s, developing country exports in electronics and automobile far outpaced those of the world average, more than 90% of which was grasped by East Asia in electronics and automobile industrialisation. The point this study emphasises is that, however, this does not necessarily mean sharing equal benefits among East Asian economies. In this regard, the word 'relative' is to use to admit the uneven international distribution of gains among regional trading partners.

A method of performance matrix is proposed for a proper evaluation. This is because an assessment with only single index may fail to grasp a complex nature of industry's performance. To overcome this problem, I propose to introduce the world market shares to combine with IVD index. Four possible cases of the industry performance are presented in Table 1.

		World Mar	ket Shares
		increased	decreased
IVD	increased	Absolute Winners	Substantial Winners
Index	decreased	Questionable Winners	Absolute Losers

Table 1. Performance Matrix of East Asian Industrialisation

First, 'absolute winners' are defined as the industry which increases not only the world export shares but also the percentage shares in IVD index. This case clearly implies that the industry captures more value-added from regional production networks. The second category is 'substantial winners' refer to the industry whose world export share decreases but the point of IVD index increases. This is an interesting case in which that

the industry looses the world market; however, it may still enjoy more gains due to less value leakages to other countries. The third category is 'questionable winners,' an opposite case to the previous one. The last category is 'absolute losers' whose world export shares decreases and more value-added leaked-out to other economies.

# 5. The Results

#### 5-1. Data Sources and Notes

Two data sets are principal sources of information in this study. The first one is the Asian International Input-Output Table in 1990, 1995 and 2000, published by Institute of Developing Economies, Japan. 78 and 76 sectors are available for 1990, 1995 and 2000 tables, respectively; however, for a technical reason, irrelevant sectors are integrated to reduce totally 26 sectors in 1990 and 1995 and 25 sectors in 2000. Table 2 explains the sector classification modified for this study. Another data set is UN Comtrade database to obtain the world markets shares of the electronics and automobile industries. The industrial classification of trade we use is, in most cases, on two-digit basis of SITC Rev.2.

\*\*\*\*\* Tables 2 here \*\*\*\*\*

This study focuses on ten major economies in the Asia-Pacific region; i.e., China, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand, and the United States. These economies appear on the Asian International Input-Output tables as endogenous, while the imports form Hong Kong and the rest of the world (ROW) is treated as non-competitive and exogenous. This study explicitly involves USA, as its economic influence is thought tremendous on East Asian production networks; therefore, for the following arguments, the word 'East Asia' includes USA, otherwise noted. Electronics and automobile industries are the central focus of this study; therefore, the following analysis is concentrated on the two industries.

\*\*\*\*\* Tables 3, 4, 5 here \*\*\*\*\*

Tables 3, 4 and 5 set out the main results. Table 3 presents the IVD (international value distribution) index by industry and country. The tables aim to show the relative value-loss out-flown from the country concerned. It shows the percentage shares of the industries' value-added retained by home country as well as those out-flown to other

economies. For instance, in 2000, China retained 85.16% of total value-added generated by home automobile industries; however, the rest was out-flown to other economies or disappeared as freight and insurance costs or tariffs. In this year, Japan was the largest beneficiary who captured 4.65% of value-added which Chinese automobile sector created. Note hereafter that the word 'home' implies territorial dimensions and, therefore, does not necessarily mean the locally-owned; it often includes foreign-owned companies operating within the country concerned. Table 4 shows the world market shares of the East Asia's electronics and automobile industries in 1990, 1995 and 2000. Table 5 also shows the regional value share (RVS) structure of electronics and automobile industries among the ten countries concerned. It also shows the international value distribution but gives a slightly different picture from Table 3. It shows the relative contributions of the industry concerned to the total value-added creation in East Asia. The figures in the upper tables show an absolute term of value-added gained in the ten economies while the lower shows its percentage shares; that is, regional value shares (RVS) and value origin shares (VOS). The following examples may be helpful for clear understanding. In 2000, for instance, China gains US\$ 45,957 million value in regional electronics industries, constituting 12.5% of total value-added the regional electronics industry created, of which US\$ 43 billion (93.3%) was produced and retained within its home electronics industries and the rest of US\$ 3 billion (6.7%) was in-flown from other economies' electronics sectors. Such value-added inflows are explained by China's contribution to foreign electronics sectors by means of intermediates used directly and indirectly for those sectors.

#### 5-2. Results

The results can be summarised by the four categories proposed in the previous section. Mixed samples which are unfitted for those categories are omitted from discussion.

a) Absolute Winners (gaining larger world market shares and RVS)

All regional electronics industries except for Japan and USA

- b) Questionable Winners (gaining world market shares but the relative value-added loss) Automobile industries of Korea and Thailand
- c) Potential Winners (loosing world market shares but gains more value-added) Automobile industry of China and electronics industry of USA
- d) Absolute Loser (loosing world market shares and regional value-added shares) Electronics and automobile industries of Japan

The following part of this section examines these four cases.

#### 5-2-a. Absolute Winners

During the 1990s, East Asian electronics industry (excluding Japan) achieved a remarkable development. The result clearly confirms this. The industry has gained growing shares of the world export market. The typical winner is China, increasing its share in the world export market rapidly from 1.02% in 1990 to 5.03% in 2000. Other East Asian economies also show similar trends but with a lesser extent.

In addition to this achievement, the industries have enjoyed growing shares of regional value-added. The value-added capture can be explained by two different sources. The first factor is RVS, country's relative share to total value-added which the regional electronics industry created. Regarding this point, Table 5-1 clearly indicates that all of these industries have enjoyed gaining larger shares of regional value-added creation. The overall gains have been attributed to the steady reduction of Japan's share.

The second factor is IVD, what extent value-added is retained within the local economy. The result is, however, rather mixed. As table 3 shows, the electronics industries in Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand have failed to retain increasing percentages of value-added within local economies, allowing larger shares of value-added out-flown to overseas. The largest beneficiary is Japan, implying the increasing dependence of these countries on the intermediates imported from Japan.

#### 5-2-b. Questionable Winners

My result suggests that Korean and Thai automobile industries fall into this category. The industries have steadily gained the world market shares; however, their success is questionable since they may not have enjoyed larger value-added. The following passage examines this.

Bangkok has aggressively attracted global automobile companies and the city is becoming ASEAN's centre of the automobile production, as is often called 'Southeast Asia's Detroit'; however, Table 5-2 shows that, in RVS index, Thailand's contribution to the total regional value-added creation was only 0.8% in 2000, accompanied with a slightly declining trend from 0.9% in 1990. Meanwhile, Korea is the third largest automobile producer in the region; however, this country also decreased in RVS from 5.1% in 1990 to 3.7% in 2000.

These results are rather puzzling, as the automobile industrialisation of Korea and Thailand has been often referred as successful cases. One of the possible explanations is the impact of the Asian financial crisis. Due to the heavy devaluation of the local currencies, the performance of Korean and Thai automobile sectors might be underestimated. However, the puzzle still remains, as the electronics sectors of both economies show a relatively good performance in export and value capture.

#### 5-3-c. Potential Winners

This category includes China's automobile and US's electronics industries. The industries have witnessed a slight loss in the world market shares but remarkably increasing gains in the regional value shares (RVS). Thus, the net effects can be positive. They also show relatively higher percentage in retaining value-added which the home industries produced. Therefore, the industries can be seen as substantial winners in the regional production networks.

It is reasonable to assume that these features are related to the massive inflows of FDI into the industries during the 1990s. Larger FDI inflows would contribute to building a larger local production base, resulting in the reduction of the external dependence in terms of value-added out-flows.

#### 5-2-d. Losers

In my study, only Japanese electronics and automobile industries appear as losers. Table 4 and 5 clearly shows this; the shares of the world markets, as well as the shares to total regional value-added (RVS), have substantially decreased in both industries. Such significant downdraft of Japan can be partly explained by relative higher growth of other vital economies in the region; however, a more fundamental factor may lie in Japanese economy itself. The economy experienced an extremely tough period after the Bubble boom burst in 1989, and the recession prolonged over the decade. Companies could not afford additional investments in production, R&D, human resources, and so on, resulting in loosing international competitiveness in electronics and automobile sectors. This is often called 'Japan's lost decade of the 1990s'. My result clearly confirms the Japanese declining presence in regional economy over the 1990s.

#### 5-3. Japan's Retreat and the Resurgence of US?

Several important remarks should be added to explain the role of Japan and the US economies in the regional production networks of East Asia. In this regard, the following two points should be noted.

First, a qualitative change has been witnessed in the role of Japan in the regional production networks. The result clearly suggests that, in terms of exports, output, and

value-creation, Japan's declining presence in the East Asian electronics and automobile industries is undoubted. However, this does not necessarily means that Japan's role in the regional production networks has been less important. Instead, its role has been gradually changed qualitatively; from inward-oriented to more external networks-oriented. In terms of the value origin shares, the country increasingly gains from external economies, implying that the Japanese economy is more embedded into the regional production networks, especially in the electronics sector.

Second, the US economy shows a remarkable increase in its importance in some East Asian economies. This is particular in the semiconductor industries of China and Malaysia. During the decade of the 1990s, the IVD indexes increases from 1.45% to 5.84% and from 7.70% to 14.26%, respectively. Other sectors also show, in general, increasing trends of US contributions in value-added. This implies that the East Asian economy has involved more US participations into the regional production networks as a supplier base.

#### 5-4. Differences between electronics and automobile production networks

As Table 3 and 5 clearly show, there is a general tendency that the automobile industry is more inward-oriented than the electronics in terms of the value-added distributions. For instance, for most East Asian economies, the automobile sectors enjoy retaining more than 80% of total value-added within the home economies. Malaysia, Korea, Taiwan, Philippines and Thailand enjoy smaller percentages than this; however, the electronics sectors of those economies show even smaller percentages. The fact implies that the automobile sector may have a larger spillover impact on indigenous industries in terms of value-added creation than that of the electronics sector.

#### 6. Summary and Conclusion

This study examined the international value distributions in the regional production networks of East Asia. The East Asian economies, in general, have achieved successful export-oriented industrialisation; however, my principle concern is to investigate the unevenness of value allocation among regional individual economies; which economy gains the most and which the least? The input-output method is employed to trace the creation and flows of value-added among the ten Asia-Pacific economies including the US. The performance was evaluated both by value-added acquisition and world export market shares. The findings were summarised as follows.

First, the electronics industries of all East Asian economies, excluding Japan and the US,

have been successful to reap a large benefit from the regional production networks in terms of gaining more value-added and world market shares. Second, Japanese electronics and automobile industries shrank drastically during the 1990s, allowing other East Asian economies to enjoy more value-added capture. Third, Korean and Thai automobile sectors appeared as unsuccessful cases in terms of value capture.

For a general conclusion, the following points should be noted.

First, our results clearly show rather unsuccessful economic performance of TNC-led industrialisation pursued in ASEAN economies. The industrialisation policy has largely allowed TNCs to decide how much value-added would remain in the host economy. The outcome is considerably unfavourable for the host economy. An extreme case is Malaysia which could retain only less than 40% of total value-added the country's electronics sectors created in 2000, and the rest has been flown-out externally. Moreover, such value outflows have been exaggerated as time goes. A similar tendency is reported in other ASEAN economies. Thus, this study concludes that a larger value loss is one of the economic consequences of TNC dependence.

The second consideration is the impact of Asian financial crisis. Indonesia, Korea, Malaysia, and Thailand were the countries which were most seriously hit by the crisis. After the crisis, these economies have increased world export market shares both in electronics and automobile, mainly thanks to the currency devaluations. However, there is no general tendency in the change of the IVD index. While Korean and Malaysian electronics sectors shows the loss of the value-added shares after the crisis, the industries in Indonesia and Thailand shows relatively stable, or even increasing, trends. Automobile sectors are also relatively stable in IVD index. Thus, the overall result is mixed; some countries incurred loss while others did not.

Finally, it is important to mention the role of the US economy in the East Asian production networks. As the result of my study shows, the US economic resurgence is confirmed, particularly after the economic crisis. However, this is somehow surprising to our conventional knowledge. It is generally believed that the US large companies have widely outsourced their peripheral activities to external agents including foreign manufactures. East Asian companies are seen as one of the largest beneficiaries from their outsourcing activities. This should have provided wider opportunities for them to capture more value-added; however, the result shows the contrary. However, this is what the GVC analysis predicted; the largest value-added nodes remain within the US home and less profitable segments were outsourced externally. The study clearly shows that the US increasingly reaps the benefits from the East Asian production networks.

# - References -

- Ernst, D. and L. Kim (2002) "Global Production Networks, Knowledge Diffusion, and Local Capability Formation" Research Policy 31(8-9): 1417-29.
- Fröbel, F., Jürgen, H. & Otto, K. (1980) The New International Division of Labour. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Fujikawa, K., M. Shimoda, and Watanabe, T. (2005) "The Structure of International Division of Labor in the Asia-Pacific Region" Konan Ronshu 46(3): 1-34.
- Gereffi, G. (1999) "International Trade and Industrial Upgrading in the Apparel Commodity Chain" Journal of International Economics, 48(1) 37-70
- Gereffi, G. and R. Kaplinsky (2001) The Value of Value Chains: Spreading the Gains from Globalisation. IDS Bulletin
- Gereffi, G. and Korzeniewicz, M. (Eds.) (1994) Commodity Chains and Global Capitalism Westport, CT; Praeger
- Henderson, J. (1998). Danger and Opportunity in the Asia-Pacific" In G. Thompson (Ed.). Economic Dynamism in the Asia-Pacific. Routledge
- Hobday, M. (1995) "East Asian Latecomer Firms: Learning the Technology of Electronics." World Development 23(7): 1171-93.
- Hobday, M. (2001) "The Electronics Industries of the Asia-Pacific: Exploiting International Production Networks for Economic Development." Asian Pacific Economic Literature 15(1): 13-29.
- Kaplinsky, R. (2000). Globalisation and Unequalisation: What Can Be Learned from Value Chain Analysis? Journal of Development Studies, 37(2), 117-146.
- Kawai, M. (2005) "East Asian Economic Regionalism: Progress and Challenges." Journal of Asian Economics 16(1): 29-55.
- Kimura, F. and M. Ando (2003) "Fragmentation and Agglomeration Matter: Japanese Multinationals in Latin America and East Asia" North American Journal of Economics and Finance 14: 287-317.
- Kimura, F. and M. Ando (2005) "Two-Dimensional Fragmentation in East Asia: Conceptual Framework and Empirics." International Review of Economics and Finance 14(3): 317-48.
- Lall, S. (1995) "Industrial Strategy and Policies on Foreign Direct Investment in East Asia" Transnational Corporations 4(3): 1-26.
- Lall, S., M. Albaladejo, J. Zhang (2004) "Mapping Fragmentation: Electronics and Automobiles in East Asia and Latin America." Oxford Development Studies

32(3): 407-32.

- Matsumura, F. and K. Fujikawa (1998) Economic Analysis of "Home Production", Iwanami Shoten (in Japanese).
- Ng, F. and A. Yeats (1999) Production Sharing in East Asia: Who Does What for Whom, and Why?, World Bank; World Bank.
- Oikawa, H. (2004). Is Proton Car Really Made by Malaysians? mimeo
- Petri, P. A. (2006) "Is East Asia Becoming More Interdependent?" Journal of Asian Economics 17: 381-394.
- Sohn, B. H. (2002) "Regionalization of Trade and Investment in East Asia and Prospects for Further Regional Integration." Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 7(2), pp.160-181.

Secto	r Clas	ssification 2000	Sector Class	ificatio	n 1990 and 1995
25 Sectors Integrated		Original 76 Sectors	26 Sectors Integrated		Original 78 Sectors
Description	Code	Description	Description	Code	Description
Description	001	Paddy	Description	001	Paddy
	002	Other grain		007A	Other grain
	003	Food crops		002	Cassava
		-		004	Sugar cane and beet
				005	Oil parm and coconuts
Agriculture, Livestock, Forestry,	004		Agriculture, Livestock, Forestry,	007B	Other food crops
and Fishery	004	Non-food crops	and Fishery	003	Natural rubber
				006	Fiber crops Other commercial crops
	005	Livestock and poultry		008	Livestock and poultry
	006	Forestry		010	Forestry
	007	Fishery		011	Fishery
	008	Crude petroleum and natural gas		012	Crude petroleum and natural gas
	009	Iron ore		015A	Iron ore
Mining and Quarrying	010	Other metallic ore	Mining and Quarrying	013	Copper ore
inning and quariting			initial guild guarding	014	Tin ore
	011	Non-motellin and anomalian		015B	Other metallic ore
	011	Milled grain and flour		010	Milled rice
	012			010	Other milled grain and flour
	013	Fish products		021A	Fish products
	014	Slaughtering, meat products and dairy product		021B	Slaughtering and meat products
Food, beverage and tobacco	015	Other food products	Food, beverage and tobacco	017	Oil and fats
-		-	-	020	Sugar
		-		021C	Other food products
	016	Beverage		022A	Beverage
	017	10Dacco		022B	1 ODacco
	018	Spinning Weaving and ducing	1	023	Spinning Weaving and duoing
Textile, leather, and the products	020	Knitting	Textile, leather, and the products	024	Knitting
thereof	021	Wearing apparel	thereof	026	Wearing apparel
litercor	022	Other made-up textile products	ulcicor	027	Other made-up textile products
	023	Leather and leather products		028	Leather and leather products
	024	Timber		029	Timber
	025	Wooden furniture		030A	Furniture
	026	Other wooden products		030B	Other wooden products
	027	Pulp and paper		031	Pulp and paper
	028	Printing and publishing		032	Printing and publishing
	029	Synthetic resins and fiber		033A 033B	Synthetic resins and fiber
	030	Chemical fertilizers and pesticides		0331	Chemical fertilizers and pesticides
Other manufacturing products	032	Drugs and medicine	Other manufacturing products	035A	Drugs and medicine
8 F	033	Other chemical products		035B	Other chemical products
	034	Refined petroleum and its products	1	036	Refined petroleum and its products
	035	Plastic products		050A	Plastic products
	036	Tires and tubes		037	Tires and tubes
	037	Other rubber products		038	Other rubber products
	038	Cement and cement products		039	Cement and cement products
	039	Glass and glass products		040	Glass and glass products
Iron and steel	040	Utner non-metallic mineral products	Iron and steel	041	Uther non-metallic mineral products
Non-ferrous metal	041	Non-ferrous metal	Non-ferrous metal	042	Non-ferrous metal
Metal products	043	Metal products	Metal products	043	Metal products
Boilers. Engines and turbines	044	Boilers, Engines and turbines	Engines and turbines	045E	Engines and turbines
General machinery	045	General machinery	Ordinary industrial machinery	045C-2	Ordinary industrial machinery
Metal working machinery	046	Metal working machinery	Specialized industrial machinery	045B-1	Specialized industrial machinery
			Ordinary industrial machinery	045C-2	Ordinary industrial machinery
Specialaized machinery	047	Specialaized machinery	Agricultural machinery	045A	Agricultural machinery
	0.40	II. Flattale to the	Specialized industrial machinery	045B-2	Specialized industrial machinery
Heavy Electrical equipment	048	Heavy Electrical equipment	Heavy Electric machinery	045D	Heavy Electric machinery
Floctronic computing equipment	049	Flectronic computing equipment	Electronics and electronic products	040A	Electronics and electronic products
Semiconductors and integrated circ	1051	Semiconductors and integrated circuits			
Other electronics and electronic pro	052	Other electronics and electronic products	1	1	
Household electrical equipment,	053	Household electrical equipment	Other electric machinery and	046B	Other electric machinery and appliance
Lighting, Fixtures, Batteries, etc	054	Lighting fixtures, batteries, wiring and others	appliance		, ,
Motor vehicles	055	Motor vehicles	Motor vehicles	047A	Motor vehicles
Motor cycles	056	Motor cycles	Motor cycles and bicycles (Motor cy	047B-1	Motor cycles and bicycles (Motor cycle
Shipbuilding	057	Shipbuilding	Shipbuilding	048B	Shipbuilding
Other transport equipment	058	Other transport equipment	Motor cycles and bicycles (Bicycles)	047B-2	Motor cycles and bicycles (Bicycles)
			Aircrafts	048A	Aircrafts
Presision machines	050	Provision machines	Other transport equipment	0480	Other transport equipment
Other manufacturing products	059	Other manufacturing products	Other manufacturing products	049 050B	Other manufacturing products
Other manufacturing products	061	Electricity and gas	Other manufacturing products	050D	Flectricity gas and water supply
	062	Water supply		001	Electricity, gas and water supply
	063	Building construction	1	052A	Building construction
1	064	Other construction	1	052B	Other construction
	065	Wholesale and retail trade	]	053A	Wholesale and retail trade
Electricity and the state	066	Transportation	Electricity and the state	053B	Transportation
Electricity, gas, water supply,	067	Telephone and telecommunication	Electricity, gas, water supply,	054A	Telephone and telecommunication
Construction, Trade,	068	Finance and insurance	Construction, Trade,	054B	Finance and insurance
Transportation, and Other Public	069	Keal estate	Transportation, and Other Public	054D-	Other services
Services	070	Education and research	Services	054C	Education and research
	079	Restraints	1	054D-	Other services
	072	Hotel	1	054D-	Other services
1	074	Other services	1	054D-	Other services
	076	Unclassified	1	056	Unclassified
	075	Public administration	1	055	Public administration

 Table 2. Sector Classification and Integration: Asian International Input-Output Table 1990, 1995 and 2000

 Table 3. International Value Distribution Index

	China	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles			Indonesia	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles
	China	67.66%	59.18%	76.41%	77.86%	85.09%	85.16%			China	1.10%	1.27%	0.44%	0.34%	1.35%	0.66%
	Indonesia	0.30%	0.45%	0.36%	0.44%	0.36%	0.23%			Indonesia	78.05%	74.16%	85.22%	93.77%	73.27%	80.32%
	Japan	6.18%	7.33%	4.56%	5.27%	3.35%	4.65%			Japan	4.11%	4.84%	1.52%	1.01%	3.61%	7.05%
	Korea	2.71%	3.15%	2.14%	2.09%	1.39%	1.11%			Korea	1.07%	1.25%	0.42%	0.30%	1.50%	0.48%
	Malaysia	0.82%	1.20%	0.58%	0.42%	0.24%	0.15%			Malaysia	0.55%	0.61%	0.25%	0.23%	1.08%	0.33%
	Taiwan	2.98%	3.87%	2.40%	2.12%	1.46%	1.09%			Taiwan	0.60%	0.71%	0.23%	0.15%	0.70%	0.39%
12	Philippines	0.36%	0.55%	0.22%	0.13%	0.07%	0.03%		2	Philippines	0.05%	0.06%	0.02%	0.01%	0.05%	0.07%
00	Singapore	0.65%	1.17%	0.46%	0.31%	0.17%	0.10%		õ	Singapore	0.63%	0.74%	0.22%	0.14%	0.56%	0.22%
0	Thailand	0.26%	0.71%	0.24%	0.23%	0.15%	0.13%		<u> </u>	Thailand	0.41%	0.47%	0.17%	0.14%	0.69%	0.34%
	USA	4.19%	5.84%	2.86%	2.38%	1.73%	1.30%			USA	2.16%	2.53%	0.81%	0.56%	2.22%	1.30%
	Freight & Insurance	1.16%	1.63%	1.06%	0.96%	0.47%	0.28%			Freight & Insurance	0.71%	0.90%	0.16%	0.00%	1.24%	0.33%
	Imports from HKG	4.61%	5.47%	2.96%	1.97%	0.70%	0.14%			Imports from HKG	0.17%	0.21%	0.04%	0.00%	0.27%	0.04%
	Imports from EU	3.64%	3.12%	2.07%	2.03%	1.11%	2.05%			Imports from EU	3.29%	4.18%	0.75%	0.03%	3.30%	0.98%
	Imports from ROW	1.89%	3.15%	1.73%	1.94%	2.70%	1.24%			Imports from ROW	5.47%	6.00%	9.39%	3.30%	8.50%	3.38%
	Duties & Taxes	2.60%	3.17%	1.95%	1.86%	1.01%	2.34%			Duties & Taxes	1.63%	2.06%	0.37%	0.02%	1.67%	4.13%
	Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%			Tota	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Indonasia		/3.	104		91.08%	84.58%			Indonesia		64.0	170		1.24%	0.00%
	Indonesia		0.1	20/		0.18%	2 740			Indonesia		4.0	00/		74.89%	12 960/
	Japan		7.4	-5% -6%		2.00%	5.74%			Japan		4.5	10/		3.44%	15.60%
	Moleveie		1.4	0%		0.35%	0.01%			Moleurio		0.9	1 %0 204		1.55%	0.83%
	Taiwan		0.3	704		0.23%	0.12%			Toiwon		0.4	004		0.54%	0.20%
	Philippines		0.4	104		0.38%	0.47%			Philippines		0.8	504		0.80%	0.38%
99	Singapora		0.0	704		0.04%	0.02%		99	Singements		0.0	104		0.04%	0.05%
S	Theiland		0.4	0%		0.15%	0.11%		UN	Theiland		1.1	1 % Q%		0.39%	0.30%
	I hanand US A		1.6	7%		0.00%	1 34%			LISA		3.1	5%		1 89%	1.58%
	Freight & Insurance		0.8	5%		0.17%	0.31%			Freight & Insurance		0.7	9%		0.76%	0.84%
	Imports from HKG		61	4%		0.96%	0.30%			Imports from HKG		0.1	7%		0.17%	0.05%
	Imports from ROW		5.0	8%		1.69%	7 57%			Imports from ROW		20.8	37%		12.30%	8 53%
	Duties & Taxes		0.7	8%		0.10%	0.69%			Duties & Taxes		2.4	1%		1 89%	2.83%
	Total		100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%			Tota		100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%
	China		79.	18%		94.07%	87.19%	1		China		0.4	6%		0.42%	0.32%
	Indonesia		0.1	7%		0.24%	0.16%			Indonesia		63.4	49%		75.99%	74.34%
	Japan		4.9	4%		1.93%	3.94%			Japan		10.5	51%		5.63%	13.78%
	Korea		0.5	3%		0.09%	0.10%			Korea		1.2	2%		0.96%	0.48%
	Malaysia		0.2	2%		0.25%	0.16%			Malaysia		0.4	3%		0.39%	0.19%
	Taiwan		0.5	9%		0.34%	0.23%			Taiwan		1.9	6%		1.25%	0.37%
19	Philippines		0.0	2%		0.04%	0.02%		19	Philippines		0.0	8%		0.09%	0.03%
95	Singapore		0.0	9%		0.06%	0.05%		5	Singapore		1.1	3%		1.08%	0.25%
	Thailand		0.0	5%		0.07%	0.07%			Thailand		0.2	1%		0.25%	0.07%
	USA		1.4	5%		1.08%	1.25%			USA		3.5	6%		3.91%	0.94%
1	Freight & Insurance		0.1	5%		0.05%	0.17%			Freight & Insurance		0.5	5%		0.63%	0.82%
1	Imports from HKG		10.	11%		1.04%	0.34%			Imports from HKG		1.4	8%		0.56%	0.11%
1	Imports from ROW		0.9	6%		0.55%	4.84%			Imports from ROW		12.9	98%		7.27%	5.10%
1	Duties & Taxes		1.5	2%		0.20%	1.50%			Duties & Taxes		1.9	4%		1.57%	3.20%
	Total		100.	.00%		100.00%	100.00%			Tota		100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%

Table 3. International	Value Distribution Inde	x (Continued 1)
------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------

	Japan	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles		Korea	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles
	China	0.88%	0.68%	0.40%	0.58%	0.82%	0.52%		China	1.84%	2.30%	1.47%	1.64%	1.48%	1.31%
	Indonesia	0.34%	0.35%	0.22%	0.32%	0.42%	0.30%		Indonesia	0.48%	0.55%	0.30%	0.73%	0.76%	0.75%
	Japan	89.88%	84.81%	95.78%	91.92%	92.25%	96.09%		Japan	11.95%	12.81%	11.04%	10.36%	7.17%	6.17%
	Korea	0.89%	1.59%	0.35%	0.59%	0.32%	0.24%		Korea	56.78%	52.80%	53.95%	65.51%	78.76%	83.49%
	Malaysia	0.42%	0.74%	0.21%	0.32%	0.24%	0.15%		Malaysia	1.00%	1.37%	1.08%	0.84%	0.45%	0.32%
	Taiwan	1.10%	1.93%	0.38%	0.76%	0.31%	0.23%		Taiwan	1.72%	2.21%	2.06%	1.10%	0.48%	0.29%
2	Philippines	0.24%	0.47%	0.11%	0.19%	0.11%	0.08%	2	Philippines	0.49%	0.70%	0.64%	0.32%	0.12%	0.05%
00	Singapore	0.22%	0.49%	0.09%	0.16%	0.07%	0.04%	00	Singapore	0.76%	1.37%	0.95%	0.41%	0.26%	0.14%
0	Thailand	0.17%	0.18%	0.08%	0.15%	0.13%	0.29%	0	Thailand	0.28%	0.54%	0.23%	0.32%	0.14%	0.12%
	USA	2.91%	4.13%	1.36%	2.25%	1.92%	1.28%		USA	11.62%	11.49%	14.22%	5.57%	4.07%	3.50%
	Freight & Insurance	1.10%	2.22%	0.27%	0.85%	0.24%	0.07%		Freight & Insurance	4.17%	5.54%	6.18%	2.99%	0.72%	0.22%
	Imports from HKG	0.27%	0.19%	0.04%	0.36%	0.10%	0.02%		Imports from HKG	1.71%	1.94%	1.01%	2.25%	0.51%	0.11%
	Imports from EU	0.45%	0.74%	0.21%	0.42%	0.89%	0.26%		Imports from EU	2.93%	2.65%	4.27%	2.15%	1.49%	1.55%
	Imports from ROW	0.66%	0.77%	0.38%	0.79%	1.87%	0.34%		Imports from ROW	3.69%	3.14%	2.51%	5.17%	3.04%	1.54%
	Duties & Taxes	0.46%	0.73%	0.13%	0.33%	0.32%	0.10%		Duties & Taxes	0.60%	0.59%	0.09%	0.64%	0.54%	0.45%
	Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	China		0.6	4%		0.56%	0.33%		China		1.1	2%		1.23%	0.96%
	Indonesia		0.1	4%		0.19%	0.13%		Indonesia		0.2	.0%		0.25%	0.18%
	Japan		92.	15%		94.11%	97.22%		Japan		12.0	04%		5.83%	6.67%
	Korea			0.37%	0.19%		Korea		68.4	40%		83.85%	83.73%		
	Malaysia		0.3		0.13%	0.08%		Malaysia		0.8	5%		0.31%	0.18%	
	Taiwan		0.5	6%		0.23%	0.14%		Taiwan		1.1	3%		0.36%	0.22%
199	Philippines		0.1	3%		0.04%	0.04%	199	Philippines		0.2	2%		0.12%	0.04%
5	Singapore		0.3	8%		0.09%	0.04%	35	Singapore		1.0	0%		0.29%	0.12%
	Thailand		0.2	25%		0.10%	0.06%		Thailand		0.2	4%		0.10%	0.07%
	USA		2.8	34%		1.78%	0.93%		USA		7.4	.7%		3.40%	2.91%
	Freight & Insurance		0.0	15%		0.04%	0.02%		Freight & Insurance		1.0	8%		0.37%	0.41%
	Imports from HKG		0.1	4%		0.03%	0.01%		Imports from HKG		0.7	7%		0.14%	0.02%
	Imports from ROW		1.1	6%		2.19%	0.75%		Imports from ROW		3.9	0%		2.72%	3.50%
	Duties & Taxes		0.2	21%		0.15%	0.05%		Duties & Taxes		1.5	8%		1.01%	0.98%
<u> </u>	Total		100.	.00%		100.00%	100.00%		Total	l	100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%
	China		0.2	3%		0.28%	0.21%		China		0.0	8%		0.05%	0.04%
	Indonesia		0.2	5%		0.37%	0.29%		Indonesia		0.3	0%		0.45%	0.31%
	Japan		94.	/4%		93.75%	96.72%		Japan		19.0	J6%		8.59%	8.00%
	Korea		0.3	9%		0.21%	0.18%		Korea		63.0	56%		82.07%	83.72%
	Malaysia		0.1	7%		0.14%	0.14%		Malaysia		0.7	2%		0.58%	0.33%
	Taiwan		0.3	8%		0.20%	0.14%	_	Taiwan		0.8	2%		0.36%	0.25%
261	Philippines		0.0	18%		0.09%	0.07%	99	Philippines		0.1	8%		0.10%	0.05%
ъ	Singapore		0.0	19%		0.06%	0.03%	5	Singapore		0.4	-1%		0.15%	0.08%
	Thailand		0.0	18%		0.07%	0.05%		Thailand		0.1	3%		0.08%	0.05%
	USA		2.2	9%		1.72%	1.30%		USA	I	8.7	8%		4.63%	3.80%
	Freight & Insurance		0.0	5%		0.04%	0.03%		Freight & Insurance		0.4	4%		0.21%	0.36%
	Imports from HKG		0.0	4%		0.01%	0.00%		Imports from HKG		1.0	10%		0.14%	0.03%
	Imports from ROW		1.1	0%		2.90%	0.79%		Imports from ROW		2.8	/%		1.89%	1.92%
	Duties & Taxes		0.1	1%		0.15%	0.04%		Duties & Taxes		1.4	2%		0.72%	1.05%
	Total		100.	.00%		100.00%	100.00%		Total		100.	.00%		100.00%	100.00%

Table 3. International	Value	Distribution	Index	(Continued 2	)
------------------------	-------	--------------	-------	--------------	---

	Malaysia	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles		Taiwan	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles
	China	2.12%	2.92%	1.82%	1.88%	2.26%	1.44%		China	2.09%	2.05%	1.29%	1.95%	2.82%	1.03%
	Indonesia	1.29%	1.31%	0.98%	0.99%	1.21%	0.94%		Indonesia	0.63%	0.76%	0.60%	0.90%	1.09%	0.48%
	Japan	17.45%	14.10%	17.50%	17.75%	15.23%	18.66%		Japan	16.96%	20.81%	13.92%	15.80%	10.97%	10.65%
	Korea	2.57%	3.01%	2.95%	2.78%	1.87%	1.34%		Korea	4.05%	5.14%	3.06%	3.15%	1.30%	0.92%
	Malaysia	32.78%	27.23%	36.80%	33.78%	50.35%	60.96%		Malaysia	1.56%	2.02%	1.97%	1.10%	0.61%	0.28%
	Taiwan	2.82%	4.47%	3.07%	2.94%	1.77%	1.41%		Taiwan	48.69%	42.89%	52.66%	55.87%	64.56%	73.35%
12	Philippines	1.11%	1.12%	1.37%	1.30%	0.48%	0.15%	20	Philippines	0.92%	1.53%	1.42%	0.59%	0.15%	0.11%
õ	Singapore	4.34%	6.62%	4.88%	4.64%	3.28%	1.55%	00	Singapore	1.63%	2.15%	1.63%	0.79%	0.33%	0.15%
0	Thailand	1.06%	4.45%	0.88%	0.90%	0.91%	0.85%	0	Thailand	0.46%	1.12%	0.44%	0.46%	0.40%	0.19%
	USA	16.96%	16.60%	14.26%	16.17%	8.58%	3.64%		USA	8.81%	10.84%	11.13%	6.69%	3.88%	2.66%
	Freight & Insurance	1.10%	1.43%	1.17%	1.20%	0.82%	0.59%		Freight & Insurance	0.42%	0.34%	0.35%	0.42%	0.30%	0.20%
	Imports from HKG	1.81%	4.94%	2.03%	2.06%	2.30%	0.42%		Imports from HKG	1.61%	1.74%	1.07%	1.57%	0.97%	0.15%
	Imports from EU	7.37%	4.81%	5.86%	6.98%	5.45%	4.52%		Imports from EU	5.24%	3.78%	4.77%	3.48%	2.32%	3.95%
	Imports from ROW	6.09%	6.31%	5.78%	5.99%	4.65%	2.38%		Imports from ROW	5.95%	4.53%	5.51%	6.86%	9.61%	3.02%
	Duties & Taxes	1.13%	0.67%	0.65%	0.66%	0.85%	1.15%		Duties & Taxes	0.96%	0.30%	0.16%	0.37%	0.69%	2.87%
	Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	China		1.0	0%		1.02%	0.31%		China		1.1	5%		1.88%	0.99%
	Indonesia		0.4	2%		0.42%	0.24%		Indonesia		0.2	5%		0.29%	0.20%
	Japan		13.	59%		13.97%	27.67%		Japan		15.5	51%		8.74%	9.42%
	Korea			1.92%	0.39%		Korea		2.5	1%		0.71%	0.65%		
	Malaysia		54.0	)3%		45.16%	50.23%		Malaysia		1.6	5%		0.25%	0.19%
	Taiwan		1.7	9%		1.84%	0.34%		Taiwan		53.0	05%		57.56%	72.88%
261	Philippines		0.2	7%		0.27%	0.07%	199	Philippines		0.4	0%		0.08%	0.05%
ъ	Singapore		3.7	4%		3.86%	0.38%	5	Singapore		1.5	9%		0.30%	0.18%
	Thailand		0.7	9%		0.81%	0.31%		Thailand		0.5	3%		0.16%	0.10%
	USA		6.6	4%		6.86%	1.20%		USA		6.9	8%		3.46%	4.08%
	Freight & Insurance		1.7	3%		2.65%	1.88%		Freight & Insurance		2.2	5%		1.04%	0.68%
	Imports from HKG		1.1	9%		1.82%	0.07%		Imports from HKG		1.9	7%		0.58%	0.09%
	Imports from ROW		12	39%		18.61%	7.16%		Imports from ROW		9.8	7%		23.38%	5.48%
	Duties & Taxes		0.5	0%		0.80%	9.75%		Duties & Taxes		2.2	8%		1.56%	5.02%
	1 otal		100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%		1 otal		100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%
	China		0.0	1%		0.62%	0.21%				0.1	0% 50/		0.08%	0.05%
	Indonesia		0.5	170		0.55%	0.35%		Indonesia		0.5	3% 500/		0.55%	0.20%
	Japan		12.	10%		12.92%	27.08%		Japan		19.0	1970 40/		12.30%	8.8/%
	Korea		1.0	270		1.09%	0.17%		Korea Malanzia		1.1	470		0.57%	0.01%
	Malaysia Taiwan		55.0	50% 20/		50.06%	54.04%		Trainaysia		0.8	9% 700/		0.36%	0.21%
-	l alwan		1.0	00/		1.74%	0.29%	_	Taiwan Dhilinninn			/9% 00/		/1.49%	12.52%
99	Singepore		0.3	104		0.32%	0.05%	99	Singapora		0.5	104		0.22%	0.07%
S	Theiland		5.6	004		4.08%	0.30%	S	Theiland		0.0	204		0.22%	0.10%
			0.4	070		0.42%	1 25%		THAILANG		10.2	270 740/		5.00%	5 420/
	USA Eroight & Ingurance	-	1.0	0%		0.20%	1.33%		Ereight & Ingurance		10.	904 804		0.20%	0.20%
1	Imports from HKC		1.0	ν <del>ι</del> 70 Ω%		1.14%	1.30%		Imports from HKC		0.5	070 8%		0.29%	0.29%
1	Imports from POW		15 9	86%		17 280/	0.03%		Imports from POW		1.7	1%		5 720/	6.810/
1	Duties & Taxes		15.0	5070 6%		17.38%	6.22%		Duties & Taxes		7.0	0%		1 3/04	0.01%
1	Total		100	00%		100.00%	100.00%		Total		1.0	00%		1.34%	4.00%
	Total		100.	00/0		100.00%	100.00%		Total		100.	0070		100.00%	100.00%

Table 3. International	Value Distribution Ind	ex (Continued 3)	)
------------------------	------------------------	------------------	---

	Philippines	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles			Singapore	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles
	China	2.07%	1.73%	0.75%	1.25%	1.91%	2.03%	- [		China	3.55%	3.19%	2.19%	2.63%	2.77%	2.25%
	Indonesia	1.51%	0.72%	0.29%	0.55%	2.12%	2.56%			Indonesia	0.74%	0.88%	0.96%	0.60%	1.26%	0.98%
	Japan	16.57%	27.60%	18.69%	22.01%	9.41%	10.74%			Japan	18.19%	15.90%	20.18%	13.59%	12.17%	12.02%
	Korea	2.85%	1.73%	3.63%	2.24%	2.76%	2.76%			Korea	2.32%	1.99%	2.38%	1.96%	1.50%	1.12%
	Malaysia	3.83%	1.88%	0.97%	1.40%	1.64%	1.20%			Malaysia	4.45%	6.01%	3.80%	4.20%	3.61%	2.84%
	Taiwan	1.37%	4.70%	1.71%	3.28%	2.27%	1.99%			Taiwan	1.97%	2.04%	2.10%	1.48%	1.34%	0.70%
2	Philippines	38.84%	36.71%	33.86%	40.95%	56.71%	59.84%		2	Philippines	0.28%	0.36%	0.28%	0.21%	0.17%	0.11%
00	Singapore	4.67%	4.44%	1.89%	3.17%	1.11%	0.92%		00	Singapore	47.18%	39.14%	39.61%	52.18%	51.20%	52.06%
0	Thailand	0.46%	3.29%	0.48%	2.04%	0.78%	0.85%		0	Thailand	0.90%	1.87%	0.71%	0.73%	0.97%	0.63%
	USA	7.73%	7.75%	16.04%	9.96%	4.26%	3.08%			USA	9.89%	10.04%	13.19%	7.60%	8.32%	5.57%
	Freight & Insurance	2.73%	0.30%	2.82%	1.13%	2.54%	1.82%			Freight & Insurance	1.85%	2.70%	4.23%	1.46%	1.85%	2.56%
	Imports from HKG	6.22%	3.34%	3.12%	2.94%	1.39%	0.84%			Imports from HKG	0.66%	0.69%	1.16%	0.51%	0.69%	1.41%
	Imports from EU	4.76%	2.43%	11.17%	5.20%	2.85%	1.71%			Imports from EU	2.09%	2.33%	4.09%	2.21%	4.13%	6.49%
	Imports from ROW	2.39%	2.20%	2.60%	2.56%	7.66%	7.25%			Imports from ROW	5.93%	12.85%	5.12%	10.65%	10.02%	11.22%
	Duties & Taxes	3.99%	1.17%	1.99%	1.34%	2.59%	2.41%			Duties & Taxes	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%
	Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%			Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	China		0.4	9%		0.74%	0.90%			China		1.3	7%		1.70%	1.10%
	Indonesia		0.1	9%		0.26%	0.16%			Indonesia		0.8	2%		1.10%	1.21%
	Japan		11.0	)5%		6.32%	29.09%			Japan		17.3	30%		11.25%	14.04%
	Korea		1.8	3.11%	1.99%			Korea		3.7	5%		1.82%	0.71%		
	Malaysia		0.9	2%		0.51%	0.54%			Malaysia		3.9	7%		4.09%	1.38%
	Taiwan		1.1	0%		1.73%	0.74%			Taiwan		1.8	0%		1.34%	0.75%
261	Philippines		48.9	99%		63.13%	46.91%		261	Philippines		0.6	7%		0.29%	0.10%
ъ	Singapore		2.4	0%		1.16%	0.19%		5	Singapore		48.3	32%		55.34%	53.34%
	Thailand		0.3	0%		0.20%	0.54%			Thailand		2.8	0%		0.95%	0.67%
	USA		12.1	13%		7.24%	1.30%			USA		7.9	4%		4.75%	5.08%
	Freight & Insurance		1.9	9%		3.54%	2.21%			Freight & Insurance		3.3	6%		2.05%	2.06%
	Imports from HKG		5.0	0%		1.61%	0.09%			Imports from HKG		1.4	0%		1.67%	0.54%
	Imports from ROW		3.2	.5% 240/		4.58%	2.67%			Imports from ROW		6.4	4%		13.65%	19.00%
	Duties & Taxes		10.3	54% 00%		5.87%	12.68%			Duties & Taxes		0.0	0%		0.00%	0.01%
	China		100.	80/		0.22%	0.14%	ŀ		China		100.	20/		1 24%	100.00%
	Indonesia		0.1	0%		0.25%	0.14%			Indonasia		0.7	∠70 204		1.24%	0.70%
	Indonesia		20.1	9%0 7104		0.20%	0.19%			Indonesia		0.5	3 % 50.04		1.18%	1.22%
	Japan Koraa		20.1	1%		0.39%	23.87%			Koraa		24.0	5%		1 1 1 6%	23.32%
	Moloveio		1.1	0.04		2.94%	0.34%			Malaysia		2.0	704		2 36%	0.38%
	Toimon		1.4	0%		2 120	0.54%			Toiwon		3.0	770		2.50%	2.17%
	Philippines		1.4	53%		2.13%	62 45%		-	Philippines		2.2	270 5%		0.20%	0.08%
99	Singapore			3%		0.76%	0.16%		99	Singapore		30 3	37%		52 3204	46 50%
S	Thailand		0.2	0%		0.12%	0.10%		5	Thailand		1.0	5%		0.47%	40.39%
	USA		12 2	20%		8 4 4 %	1 55%			USA		14.5	20%		9.03%	8.57%
1	Freight & Insurance		12.2	9%		1 16%	2 18%			Freight & Insurance		14.0	3%		1 43%	2 05%
1	Imports from HKG		2.6	3%		0.82%	2.10%			Imports from HKG		1.5	9%		0.71%	2.05%
	Imports from ROW		2.0	5%		4 4 3 %	1.60%			Imports from ROW		6.2	2%		9.65%	12 80%
	Duties & Taxes		6.4	0%		4 29%	4 73%			Duties & Taxes		0.0	1%		0.01%	0.04%
1	Total		100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%			Total		100.	00%		100.00%	100.00%

Table 3. International	Value Distribution Index (	Continued 4	)
------------------------	----------------------------	-------------	---

	Thailand	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles		USA	Television & Audios <sup>*1</sup>	Electronics Computing Equipment	Semi- conductors & ICs	Other Electronics & Products	Household Electrical Equipment & Others	Auto Mobiles
	China Indonesia Japan	4.00% 1.32% 21.13%	2.89% 0.92% 10.32%	4.00% 1.32% 21.13%	2.89% 0.92% 10.32%	3.50% 1.10% 15.61%	1.27% 0.67% 21.72%		China Indonesia Japan	0.68% 0.10% 3.43%	1.18% 0.21% 5.39%	0.21% 0.04% 1.34%	0.64% 0.10% 3.48%	0.60% 0.07% 1.51%	0.62% 0.09% 3.07%
	Korea Malaysia	3.97% 2.83%	1.75% 1.92%	3.97% 2.83%	1.75% 1.92%	1.09% 1.70%	0.94% 0.78%		Korea Malaysia	1.13% 0.44%	1.53% 0.96%	0.34% 0.16%	1.05% 0.44%	0.37% 0.13%	0.39% 0.15%
20	Taiwan Philippines	1.90% 0.73%	2.68% 0.66%	1.90% 0.73%	2.68% 0.66%	1.92% 0.65%	0.95% 0.62%	2(	Taiwan Philippines	0.96% 0.31%	1.41% 0.51%	0.27% 0.12%	0.99% 0.31%	0.43% 0.12%	0.40% 0.11%
000	Singapore Thailand	2.48% 19.81%	2.82% 53.75%	2.48% 19.81%	2.82% 53.75%	0.81% 46.61%	0.39% 51.10%	00	Singapore Thailand	0.35%	1.15% 0.43%	0.15%	0.39%	0.08%	0.09%
	USA Freight & Insurance Imports from HKG	14.25% 8.90% 1.95%	5.91%	14.25% 8.90% 1.95%	<u>8.67%</u> 5.91%	2.85% 0.84%	2.99% 1.86% 0.17%		Freight & Insurance	84.06% 0.19% 0.71%	0.37%	94.12% 0.05% 0.18%	0.19%	89.70% 0.14% 0.26%	0.15%
	Imports from EU Imports from ROW	11.10% 3.66%	2.83%	11.10% 3.66%	2.83%	5.91% 9.40%	5.37% 2.68%		Imports from EU Imports from ROW	1.28% 6.18%	1.62% 5.97%	0.80%	1.58% 4.61%	1.59% 4.81%	1.54% 6.83%
	Duties & Taxes Total	1.97% 100.00%	1.52% 100.00%	1.97% 100.00%	1.52% 100.00%	3.03% 100.00%	8.50% 100.00%		Duties & Taxes Total	0.05% 100.00%	0.03% 100.00%	0.02% 100.00%	0.06% 100.00%	0.11% 100.00%	0.14% 100.00%
	China Indonesia		1.1 0.2	0% 22%		1.33% 0.45%	1.01% 0.17%		China Indonesia		0.5 0.0	1% 9%		0.50% 0.06%	0.36% 0.07%
	Japan Korea	Japan 15.08% Korea 1.63%							Japan Korea	5.67% 1.48% 0.98%				2.12% 0.44%	2.83%
1	Taiwan		2.4	12%		0.80%	0.41%	-	Taiwan		1.0	15% 12%		0.20%	0.25%
995	Singapore Thailand		3.7	74% 62%		0.99% 55.01%	0.55% 52.98%	995	Singapore Thailand		1.1	4% 4%		0.19%	0.14%
	USA Freight & Insurance		9.6	55% 70%		4.05% 1.59%	2.12% 1.66%		USA Freight & Insurance		82.3	84% :0%		89.60% 0.13%	86.05% 0.16%
	Imports from HKG Imports from ROW		1.1 8.0	.7% 00%		0.42% 17.03%	0.14% 9.23%		Imports from HKG Imports from ROW		0.3	3% 6%		0.16% 5.64%	0.07% 8.96%
	Duties & Taxes Total		4.9	.00%		5.55% 100.00%	11.97%		Duties & Taxes Total		100.	8% 00%		0.20%	0.24%
	Indonesia		0.2	23% 83%		0.76%	0.26%		Indonesia		0.0	2 % 14% 4%		0.12%	0.05%
	Korea Malaysia		1.4 1.3	46% 33%		0.63% 0.92%	0.58% 0.69%		Korea Malaysia		0.4 0.2	3% 6%		0.19% 0.08%	0.26%
19	Taiwan Philippines		1.9 0.2	98% 23%		1.56% 0.15%	0.68% 0.10%	19	Taiwan Philippines		0.5 0.0	4% 8%		0.26% 0.03%	0.33% 0.03%
95	Singapore Thailand		4.0 37.1	)4% 78%		0.83% 52.27%	0.47% 49.41%	95	Singapore Thailand		0.3 0.0	8% 9%		0.06% 0.04%	0.06% 0.04%
	USA Freight & Insurance		16.9	97% 30%		4.18%	2.60%		USA Freight & Insurance		90.0	3%		94.03% 0.09%	87.07% 0.15%
	Imports from ROW		6.3 6.9	37% 199%		0.31% 17.66% 7.12%	0.13% 8.34% 12.17%		Imports from ROW		4.0	4% 9%		0.10% 3.50% 0.17%	8.24% 0.23%
	Total		100	.00%		100.00%	100.00%		Total		100.	.00%		100.00%	100.00%

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
China	1.02%	1.18%	2.19%	1.97%	2.40%	2.75%	3.00%	3.46%	3.96%	4.31%	5.03%
Indonesia	0.05%	0.10%	0.27%	0.29%	0.36%	0.36%	0.45%	0.40%	0.34%	0.39%	0.73%
Japan	20.67%	21.37%	N.A.	20.38%	18.68%	16.89%	14.33%	13.45%	12.12%	11.97%	11.96%
Korea	4.03%	4.27%	5.16%	4.26%	4.54%	5.11%	4.61%	4.24%	3.89%	4.67%	5.26%
Malaysia	2.21%	2.68%	3.80%	3.66%	4.09%	4.32%	4.43%	4.25%	4.10%	4.68%	4.63%
Taiwan	4.72%	5.10%	6.19%	5.47%	5.26%	5.35%	5.63%	5.71%	5.68%	5.99%	6.66%
Philippines	0.23%	0.54%	0.40%	0.37%	0.40%	0.43%	1.26%	1.64%	2.15%	2.51%	2.22%
Singapore	5.22%	5.53%	7.27%	6.68%	7.99%	8.14%	8.25%	7.63%	6.75%	6.49%	6.62%
Thailand	1.02%	1.26%	1.79%	1.58%	1.78%	1.82%	1.91%	1.88%	1.88%	1.86%	1.90%
USA	16.77%	16.93%	20.45%	16.47%	15.94%	15.41%	15.78%	16.52%	15.88%	15.80%	16.04%
Total Shares	55.95%	58.96%	47.52%	61.12%	61.45%	60.57%	59.66%	59.17%	56.77%	58.67%	61.04%

Table 4-1. World Market Shares of Nine East Asian Economies and USA (Electronics and Electrical Exports)

Table 4-2. World Market Shares of Nine East Asian Economies and USA (Automobile and Auto Component Exports)

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
China	1.23%	1.61%	0.43%	0.37%	0.47%	0.63%	0.57%	0.60%	0.71%	0.75%	1.21%
Indonesia	0.01%	0.02%	0.07%	0.10%	0.08%	0.09%	0.08%	0.07%	0.06%	0.08%	0.09%
Japan	21.13%	22.37%	N.A.	24.09%	21.30%	18.22%	16.20%	16.63%	15.53%	15.78%	16.23%
Korea	1.08%	1.15%	1.61%	1.73%	1.76%	2.36%	2.73%	2.67%	2.34%	2.56%	2.84%
Malaysia	0.04%	0.05%	0.10%	0.12%	0.10%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.10%	0.09%	0.08%
Taiwan	0.73%	0.89%	1.00%	1.02%	0.95%	0.96%	0.84%	0.82%	0.75%	0.76%	0.83%
Philippines	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.03%	0.05%	0.06%	0.07%	0.09%	0.08%	0.10%	0.12%
Singapore	0.18%	0.19%	0.27%	0.29%	0.26%	0.28%	0.29%	0.27%	0.18%	0.16%	0.17%
Thailand	0.07%	0.09%	0.12%	0.19%	0.23%	0.17%	0.17%	0.24%	0.26%	0.38%	0.46%
USA	9.83%	10.51%	13.97%	12.41%	12.28%	11.49%	11.43%	12.05%	11.24%	10.81%	11.03%
Total Shares	34.32%	36.90%	17.58%	40.35%	37.48%	34.36%	32.49%	33.55%	31.27%	31.47%	33.04%

Source: Calculated from UN Comtrade Database

Note: Electronics and electrical products refer to the items covered by codes 75, 76, and 77 in SITC Rev.2 classification, while automobile and it components are code 78. Taiwan's Trade data is obtained as "Other Asia, nes."

Tuble 5 1. Value Added Cleation by This East Asian Countres and OSA (Electronics and Electrical industrie
---

(million US \$)

		2000			1995		1990		
	Total Value	lue Value-Added Produced Origins		Total Value	Value-Added Produced Origins		Total Value	Value-Added Produced Origins	
	Added Produced	Home	Overseas	Added Produced	Home	Overseas	Added Produced	Home	Overseas
China	\$45,957	\$42,886	\$3,071	\$17,314	\$14,705	\$2,609	\$5,163	\$4,680	\$483
Indonesia	\$3,190	\$2,009	\$1,181	\$2,015	\$1,356	\$659	\$783	\$303	\$480
Japan	\$114,051	\$96,993	\$17,058	\$191,146	\$174,438	\$16,708	\$122,020	\$114,579	\$7,441
Korea	\$17,889	\$13,336	\$4,553	\$22,950	\$18,452	\$4,498	\$7,083	\$6,057	\$1,027
Malaysia	\$5,719	\$3,218	\$2,501	\$9,340	\$6,701	\$2,639	\$1,752	\$1,041	\$711
Taiwan	\$11,729	\$7,235	\$4,494	\$7,715	\$4,621	\$3,094	\$3,895	\$2,723	\$1,172
Philippines	\$2,803	\$1,580	\$1,223	\$1,221	\$502	\$718	\$502	\$264	\$238
Singapore	\$6,473	\$4,308	\$2,166	\$7,645	\$4,604	\$3,042	\$2,097	\$1,451	\$646
Thailand	\$2,761	\$1,728	\$1,033	\$3,670	\$2,370	\$1,300	\$800	\$556	\$244
USA	\$156,518	\$144,328	\$12,189	\$126,105	\$116,413	\$9,692	\$101,929	\$97,014	\$4,915
Regional Total	\$367,090	\$317,620	\$49,470	\$389,120	\$344,162	\$44,958	\$246,024	\$228,667	\$17,357
	Regional Value	Value Origin Shares (VOS)		Regional Value	Value Origin Shares (VOS)		Regional Value	Value Origin Shares (VOS)	
	Shares (RVS)	Home	Overseas	Shares (RVS)	Home	Overseas	Shares (RVS)	Home	Overseas
China	12.5%	93.3%	6.7%	4.4%	84.9%	15.1%	2.1%	90.6%	9.4%
Indonesia	0.9%	63.0%	37.0%	0.5%	67.3%	32.7%	0.3%	38.7%	61.3%
Japan	31.1%	85.0%	15.0%	49.1%	91.3%	8.7%	49.6%	93.9%	6.1%
Korea	4.9%	74.5%	25.5%	5.9%	80.4%	19.6%	2.9%	85.5%	14.5%
Malaysia	1.6%	56.3%	43.7%	2.4%	71.7%	28.3%	0.7%	59.4%	40.6%
Taiwan	3.2%	61.7%	38.3%	2.0%	59.9%	40.1%	1.6%	69.9%	30.1%
Philippines	0.8%	56.4%	43.6%	0.3%	41.2%	58.8%	0.2%	52.6%	47.4%
Singapore	1.8%	66.5%	33.5%	2.0%	60.2%	39.8%	0.9%	69.2%	30.8%
Thailand	0.8%	62.6%	37.4%	0.9%	64.6%	35.4%	0.3%	69.4%	30.6%
USA	42.6%	92.2%	7.8%	32.4%	92.3%	7.7%	41.4%	95.2%	4.8%
Total (Average)	100.0%	86.5%	13.5%	100.0%	88.4%	11.6%	100.0%	92.9%	7.1%

Table 5-2 Value-Added Creation b	v Nine East Asian Countries and USA	(Automobiles and Auto Component Industries)
Table 5 2. Value Huded Cleanon b	y Tune Last Asian Countries and OSA	(Automobiles and Auto Component industries)

(million US \$)

		2000			1995		1990		
	Total Value	Value-Added Produced Origins		Total Value	Value-Added Produced Origins		Total Value	Value-Added Produced Origins	
	Added Produced	Home	Overseas	Added Produced	Home	Overseas	Added Produced	Home	Overseas
China	\$15,592	\$13,499	\$2,093	\$8,827	\$7,674	\$1,153	\$3,229	\$2,951	\$278
Indonesia	\$2,956	\$2,248	\$708	\$2,667	\$2,362	\$305	\$1,753	\$1,451	\$302
Japan	\$111,576	\$102,158	\$9,418	\$127,529	\$120,268	\$7,261	\$80,676	\$77,007	\$3,669
Korea	\$12,227	\$10,893	\$1,334	\$14,827	\$13,936	\$891	\$7,300	\$6,972	\$328
Malaysia	\$1,686	\$1,114	\$572	\$1,148	\$664	\$484	\$464	\$242	\$222
Taiwan	\$4,871	\$3,544	\$1,327	\$3,869	\$3,096	\$774	\$2,138	\$1,799	\$340
Philippines	\$581	\$236	\$345	\$226	\$50	\$175	\$188	\$103	\$84
Singapore	\$409	\$108	\$301	\$413	\$98	\$316	\$126	\$37	\$88
Thailand	\$2,000	\$1,422	\$577	\$2,479	\$2,250	\$229	\$1,254	\$1,178	\$77
USA	\$174,953	\$172,594	\$2,358	\$116,243	\$114,143	\$2,100	\$46,507	\$44,887	\$1,620
Regional Total	\$326,849	\$307,816	\$19,033	\$278,229	\$264,541	\$13,688	\$143,635	\$136,626	\$7,009
	Regional Value	Value Origin Shares (VOS)		Regional Value	Value Origin Shares (VOS)		Regional Value	Value Origin Shares (VOS)	
	Shares (RVS)	Home	Overseas	Shares (RVS)	Home	Overseas	Shares (RVS)	Home	Overseas
China	4.8%	86.6%	13.4%	3.2%	86.9%	13.1%	2.2%	91.4%	8.6%
Indonesia	0.9%	76.1%	23.9%	1.0%	88.6%	11.4%	1.2%	82.8%	17.2%
Japan	34.1%	91.6%	8.4%	45.8%	94.3%	5.7%	56.2%	95.5%	4.5%
Korea	3.7%	89.1%	10.9%	5.3%	94.0%	6.0%	5.1%	95.5%	4.5%
Malaysia	0.5%	66.1%	33.9%	0.4%	57.8%	42.2%	0.3%	52.2%	47.8%
Taiwan	1.5%	72.8%	27.2%	1.4%	80.0%	20.0%	1.5%	84.1%	15.9%
Philippines	0.2%	40.6%	59.4%	0.1%	22.3%	77.7%	0.1%	55.0%	45.0%
Singapore	0.1%	26.5%	73.5%	0.1%	23.6%	76.4%	0.1%	29.6%	70.4%
Thailand	0.6%	71.1%	28.9%	0.9%	90.7%	9.3%	0.9%	93.9%	6.1%
USA	53.5%	98.7%	1.3%	41.8%	98.2%	1.8%	32.4%	96.5%	3.5%
Total (Average)	100.0%	94.2%	5.8%	100.0%	95.1%	4.9%	100.0%	95.1%	4.9%