

## INTRODUCTION

Malaysia has been exhibiting an enormous ability to adjust its socio-economic development in the face of an abruptly changing world economy. During the late 1970s and early 1980s, when the world economy went into recession following the second oil crisis, the Malaysian economy underwent some drastic changes. Malaysia's heavy dependence on world demand coupled with the recession stimulated an economy based on counter cyclical, socio-political (based on the New Economic Policy), and fiscal interventions. By the middle of the 1980s the Government was experiencing severe financial burdens which led to its tightening the belt in an effort to reduce expenditure and restructure its financial position. This policy of austerity and the collapse of primary commodities caused the Malaysian economy to register negative or near zero GDP growth in the mid-1980s. Immediately following these difficulties and the Plaza Accord in September 1985, the Government again opted for more liberal policies favouring private (especially foreign direct) investment. Evidence of this can be seen by the introduction of the Promotion of Investment Act (1986) and the Income Tax Act (Amended 1987). Responding to this swift change of policies, the private sector steadily picked up and marched confidently towards the 1990s backed by a massive inflow of foreign direct investment (FDI). Since 1988, GDP has been growing at more than 8.5% each consecutive year. By all indications GDP growth in 1992 may even exceed the 8.5% forecast by the Ministry of Finance (Economic Report 1991/1992).

The Malaysian economy's recent splendid performance began with that 1986/87 policy change and was reinforced by the rapid inflow of FDI. The domestic private sector has now caught up with the aggressive inflow of FDI to ensure sustained expansion. The Government has been trying to accommodate the behaviour of the active private sector as it has adjusted the economy towards that of an industrialised country.

Seeing the Malaysian economy in this light, we naturally ask ourselves how the massive inflow of FDI has affected the basically resource-based Malaysian economy. It is this question which constitutes the starting point for this volume. Partly because the literature of economic theory is so inadequate, and partly because the real economy has been so dynamic in an abruptly changing and "borderless" world, it is difficult to fully comprehend the complex phenomena induced by the inflow of FDI. Furthermore, it might be too early to evaluate the effects of FDI on the Malaysian economy since the data is not readily available. Nevertheless, we think it is time to attempt to answer the above question because the world economy and the Malaysian economy now seem to have reached a plateau in advance of another round of change. This volume will therefore take a hard look at the details in an attempt to provide some tentative answers.

This volume is divided into three parts: the macroscopic view of the impact of FDI; FDI's impact on each sector; and geographical dispersion of FDI. The first two chapters give overviews of the implications of FDI and its important role in technology transfer. The following three chapters are industry specific. Electronic/electrical industries are analysed in more details because they are growing the most rapidly. The final two chapters concentrate on the regional dispersion of FDI and industrial location.

As an overview, the *First Chapter* traces the recent trends in FDI in Malaysia, identifies what determines the inflow and discusses the policy implications pertaining to FDI. It points out that the Malaysian economy has become integrated within the Asia-Pacific region through the FDI network. It explains that the foreign stake in the fixed assets of the manufacturing sector exceeds 40%, and highlights the "new" characteristics of FDI in Malaysia. The liberal policy change of the mid-1980s (relaxing foreign equity rulings, tax incentives in favour of FDI) is identified as a major domestic determinant. The growing internationalisation of industrial production, exchange rate realignments and protectionism in developed countries, are identified as the critical external factors. Issues discussed include: the crowding out of local capital, high import content, foregone tax revenues and the balance of payment effects. As the external environment is so uncertain, it is argued that Malaysia needs to: step up investments in human capital, maintain political stability, improve its infrastructure, and undertake reverse investment abroad.

One of the most important role of FDI, from the host country's viewpoint, is technology transfer. *Chapter Two* examines Malaysia's experience of technological development and FDI's impact on the development process. During the first two phases of industrialisation, import-substitution in the 1960s and export-promotion in the 1970s, the process of "learning by doing" and "learning by adapting" are described as important components of technological transfers and acquisitions. During the third phase in the 1980s, characterised by the promotion of heavy industries, "learning by design" become more significant. The transition towards this third phase is still in its infancy. Of all the technological agreements approved, Japan, "technical assistance" and "know-how" are shown to be the most commonly adopted in the electronic/electrical, chemical and fabricated metal industries. Manpower training, skill upgrading and R&D within domestic industries/institutions, are reassessed for technological enhancement through FDI.

*Chapter Three* analyses the production structure, since 1986, of the manufacturing industries in the wake of the massive inflow of FDI. The annual production function is cross-sectionally estimated, applying the newly-released series of the capital "in production" either Malaysian or foreign owned. Major findings are: (a) the production function is almost homogeneous by degree one; returns to scale is constant every year; (b) foreign capital is much more elastic than

Malaysian capital, although the elasticity of the latter is increasing; (c) the marginal productivity of foreign capital is high enough to be around one (M\$1.00 per equivalent amount of foreign capital), while Malaysian capital is growing rapidly and labour is steady at around M\$10,000.00 per person; and in the case of capital intensive industries, findings (b) and (c) are more apparent, although the elasticity and the marginal productivity of labour are estimated to be smaller.

Within the rapidly growing manufacturing sector, the electrical/electronics industry has made tremendous progress since the mid-1970s, thanks to inflow of FDI. Reviewing the industry's profile, *Chapter Four* examines this rapid progress in terms of its contributions to value added, employment, and export. The chapter further investigates FDI's industrial role in terms of structure, employment, linkages with local industries, and technology. It also discusses the global outlook of this industry. The leaning towards components rather than consumer/industrial electronics, and the lack of skilled labour, product design, R&D, and weak linkages with local/supporting industries are all identified as major issues involved in the transformation of this industry from back-end to front-end manufacturing.

Despite its rapid industrialisation, the Malaysian economy is still an important primary producer with a wide spectrum of primary commodities. After reviewing the policies and the process of the economy's transformation, *Chapter Five* focuses on the performances of the agro-based industries since the 1985 recession. It shows that the policy changes (Promotion of Investment Act 1986, amendments to the Income Tax Act in 1987 and Industrial Master Plan 1986-1995), have stimulated the agro-based manufacturing with the help of FDI. The food and beverage, rubber product and wood-based industries are taken as examples. FDI's impact is favourably evaluated as a generator of income and employment opportunity. The deterioration of the trade balance and foregone tax revenues are also discussed.

The inflow of FDI influences not only the national/federal level of industrial development, but also local/state development. The profit maximising behaviour of FDI may sometimes cause conflict with the host country's objective of regionally balanced development. In fact, as *Chapter Six* discovers, FDI has tended to favour the more developed areas along the Western Corridor, despite government efforts in the 1970s to set up industrial estates in the east coast. The State Economic Development Corporations are competing with one another for FDI and sometimes team up. The Eastern Corridor linking Kelantan, Trengganu, Pahang and Johore, and the northern/southern growth triangles are good examples. Expecting a heavier concentration within the Western Corridor, this chapter concludes that because of over-congestion or shortage of labour there, FDI is also to be attracted to certain resource and agro-based industries in the less developed states.

The results of mail survey centred around Kuala Lumpur, in the Klang Valley, are summarised in *Chapter Seven*. This chapter also investigates the industrialists'

perception in relation to industrial and plant location. After reviewing the newly proposed long-run plans (the Sixth Malaysia Plan and the Second Outline Perspective Plan) this chapter anticipates that policies for regional dispersal of industries will continue. Not only to achieve a more balanced distribution of investment, but also to relocate industrial activities closer to the sources of labour and raw materials. The results of the inquiry lend evidence to support this anticipation. According to this survey, the two most important factors influencing foreign industrial firms in their choice of plant location and relocation are: (a) the availability of utilities and skilled/unskilled labours, and (b) access to suppliers and markets. Interaction with the rest of the corporation is not deemed to be of much importance in determining plant location. The chapter underscores the need for pragmatic policies to induce inflow of FDI to the less developed regions.

Editors